

Notes on Adams-Bashforth and implicit method used in GS2

G. W. Hammett, W. Dorland, et.al.

February 17, 2001

This short note describes how Dorland implemented the nonlinear terms in the nonlinear gyrokinetic continuum code GS2. Consider a governing equation of the form

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = -iLf - iN(\Phi, f) \quad (1)$$

where L is some linear integro-differential operator, and N is the nonlinear operator. (I wrote the operators with i 's out front, so that for a simple convection or wave problem the eigenvalues of L are real numbers $\omega_k = kv$, which simplifies later numerical stability analysis.)

When Dorland and Liu first put nonlinear terms into GS2, they used a straightforward predictor-corrector method (described later). Dorland then tried alternate algorithms, and eventually settled on a second-order Adams-Bashforth treatment of the nonlinear terms. The resulting algorithm is just

$$\frac{f_{j+1} - f_j}{\Delta t} = -iL \frac{f_{j+1} + f_j}{2} - i \frac{3N_j - N_{j-1}}{2} \quad (2)$$

where $N_j = N(\Phi_j, f_j)$ is the nonlinear term evaluated at the j 'th time step, and N_{j-1} is the stored value of the nonlinear term from the previous time step. Note that this is essentially using an estimate of the nonlinear term evaluated at the half time step $N_{j+1/2} \approx N_j + 0.5(N_j - N_{j-1})$, as is needed to be second order accurate.

To write out more of the details of the solution, this is rearranged into the form:

$$f_{j+1} = (1 + i\Delta t L/2)^{-1} [(1 - i\Delta t L/2)f_j - i\Delta t(1.5N_j - N_{j-1})] \quad (3)$$

Because L is an integro-differential operator, there are some special tricks Kotschenreuther developed to invert the operator $(1 + \Delta t L/2)$ (see Kotschenreuther, Rewoldt, Tang, *Comp. Phys. Comm.* **88**, 128 (1995)). But however it is done, that inverse only needs to know about the linear operator L and doesn't need to know anything about the nonlinear operator N , which just appears as an inhomogeneous source term involving information from the j and $j - 1$ time step and won't alter the implicit inversion algorithm for calculating fields at the $j + 1$ time step.

In cases where the time-step $\delta t_{j+1/2} = t_{j+1} - t_j$ is dynamically changing in time, Eq.(2) should be written as

$$\frac{f_{j+1} - f_j}{\Delta t_{j+1/2}} = -iL \frac{f_{j+1} + f_j}{2} - i \left[N_j + \frac{\Delta t_{j+1/2}}{2} \left(\frac{N_j - N_{j-1}}{\Delta t_{j-1/2}} \right) \right] \quad (4)$$

I think this maintains the second-order accuracy with time step, though perhaps it should be double checked (I seem to recall that there are subtleties with variable grids sometimes...).

I could comment further here on some of the numerical properties of an Adams-Bashforth scheme. For example, because it requires information from previous time steps, its initialization is a little subtle. Sometimes people use a predictor-corrector step to give it a second-order-accurate initialization. But often people just use $f_{-1} = f_0$ as the initialization, which is only first-order accurate for the first few time steps. However, the errors quickly damp away to give second-order accuracy after a few time steps. This is because, when viewed as a two-step algorithm to determine (f_{j+2}, f_{j+1}) given (f_j, f_{j-1}) , the Adams-Bashforth scheme is found to contain two modes: the desired physical mode with second order accuracy, and a “spurious mode”. But this spurious mode is heavily damped and can be ignored as a small temporary transient which disappears a few time steps after the initialization. The Adams-Bashforth method is used in a number of fluid dynamics codes, and it was used in a 2-D fluid/drift-wave turbulence code Stephen Smith got from Orszag’s group.

1 predictor-corrector algorithm

Here we describe an earlier algorithm which Dorland used at first. He later switched to the Adams-Bashforth method described in the previous section. When Dorland and Liu first put nonlinear terms into GS2, they treated the nonlinear terms using a similar kind of predictor-corrector or 2-step Runge-Kutta algorithm as was used in the fully explicit gyrofluid code, but modified some to use GS2's implicit algorithm for linear terms. The resulting algorithm I believe started with a “predictor” step

$$\frac{\hat{f}_{j+1} - f_j}{\Delta t} = -iL \frac{\hat{f}_{j+1} + f_j}{2} - iN[\Phi_j, f_j] \quad (5)$$

to give a “predicted” value of f at the future time $j + 1$, \hat{f}_{j+1} . By interpolating he then calculated $f_{j+1/2} = (\hat{f}_{j+1} + f_j)/2$, and then did a field solve to find $\Phi_{j+1/2}$. [Actually, there are variations where one interpolates $N_{j+1/2} = (\hat{N}_{j+1} + N_j)/2$, instead of separately interpolating the f and ϕ that go into N , and I don't know for sure which way GS2 did this in the earlier version of the code.] This was then followed by

$$\frac{f_{j+1} - f_j}{\Delta t} = -iL \frac{f_{j+1} + f_j}{2} - iN[\Phi_{j+1/2}, f_{j+1/2}] \quad (6)$$

to give a “corrected” value of f at the future time $j + 1$. This is also a second-order accurate algorithm.