# Two-Fluid Toroidal Steady States with Flow

Nathaniel M. Ferraro, Stephen C. Jardin, Andrew C. Bauer Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory

> CEMM Meeting Orlando, FL November 11, 2007



- Introduction/Motivation
- M3D- $C^1$ 
  - Description
  - Progress Since Last Year
  - Implicitization Issues
- Results
  - Testing gyroviscosity with MRI
  - Large-Aspect Ratio
  - -NSTX
- Future Directions



- We are trying to calcuate self-consistent, axisymmetric, toroidal steady-states of a comprehensive, two-fluid model.
- In particular, we would like to understand the effects of two-fluid terms and gyroviscosity on the the steady-states.
- Our method is to initialize M3D- $C^1$ , with an ideal-MHD equilibrium, and timeintegrate the nonlinear extended-MHD equations until steady state is reached.



Some advantages of integrating the nonlinear physical equations to obtain the steady state:

- Physical coordinates elimiate singularities at separatrix and magnetic axis;
- Fully two-dimensional, allowing general treatments of flow, shape, etc.;
- Plasma core and vacuum region are treated self-consistently;
- Steady state is steady on all timescales;
- Formulation can be straightforwardly extended to more complete physical models and three-dimensions.

# M3D- $C^1$ : Overview



- Magnetosonic, shear Alfvén, and whistler waves are all treated implicitly [1].
- Uses a fifth-order  $C^1$  finite-element [2] on a fully unstructured mesh.
- Matrix equations are efficiently and accurately inverted directly using SuperLU\_dist [3]. This is made possible by the compactness of the element (asymptotically 3 unknowns per triangle).
- Full axisymmetric two-fluid equations are implemented in both slab and toroidal geometry, including ion gyroviscosity. These equations are essentially the Braginskii equations [4], minus terms proportional to  $m_e/m_i$ .
- In the X-MHD equations using flux/potential field representation, no field is differentiated more than four times, with the exception of the hyper-viscous terms. This makes  $C^1$  elements ideal.





$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial n}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot n\mathbf{u} &= D, \\ n\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}\right) = \mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B} - (\nabla p + \nabla \cdot \Pi) + \mathbf{R} + \mathbf{F} - \mathbf{u}D, \\ \frac{1}{\Gamma - 1} \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot p\mathbf{u}\right) &= -p\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} + \frac{d_i}{\Gamma - 1} \frac{\mathbf{J}}{n} \cdot \left(\nabla p_e - \Gamma \frac{p_e}{n} \nabla n\right) \\ &- \nabla \cdot \mathbf{q} - \Pi : \nabla \mathbf{u} + d_i \Pi_e : \nabla \frac{\mathbf{J}}{n} + \frac{1}{2}u^2 D, \\ \frac{1}{\Gamma - 1} \left(\frac{\partial p_e}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot p_e \mathbf{u}\right) &= -p_e \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} + \frac{d_i}{\Gamma - 1} \frac{\mathbf{J}}{n} \cdot \left(\nabla p_e - \Gamma \frac{p_e}{n} \nabla n\right) \\ &- \nabla \cdot \mathbf{q}_e + d_i \Pi_e : \nabla \frac{\mathbf{J}}{n}, \\ \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} &= -\nabla \times \mathbf{E}, \\ \mathbf{J} &= \nabla \times \mathbf{B}, \\ \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{B} &= \frac{d_i}{n} (\mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B} - \nabla p_e - \nabla \cdot \Pi_e + \mathbf{R} + \mathbf{F}). \end{split}$$

# M3D- $C^1$ : What's New



Improvements to M3D- $C^1$ since last published results:

- Numerical integration (79-point Gaussian quadrature).
- Unstructured mesh
- Option for toroidal geometry
- Integration with PETSc
- Different implicitization and time integration schemes (semi-implicit, fully implicit; Crank-Nicholson, BDF2).





• Published results from M3D- $C^1$  used a split time step, where velocity advance looked like:

$$[1 - \theta^2 (\delta t)^2 \mathcal{L}] u^{n+1} = [1 - \theta (\theta - 1) (\delta t)^2 \mathcal{L}] u^n + \delta t c \nabla v^n$$

- In toroidal simulations in which the flow is driven by resistivity, our results converged very slowly with  $\delta t$ .
  - We implemented a fully implicit, unsplit time step as a check on our results. This showed that the split time step method was introducting significant errors even at small values of  $\delta t$ .
  - By letting  $\theta(\theta 1) \rightarrow \theta^2$ , as done by Caramana [5] and NIMROD, these errors were elimintated.



## **Results: Toroidal Steady States**



The following data result from simulations of the following scenario:

- The simulation is initialized with a solution to the Grad-Shafranov equation.
- A loop voltage is applied by changing the flux at the boundary of the simulation domain at a constant rate  $\dot{\psi} = V_L/2\pi$ .
- The simulation is run until a steady state in both current profile and flow is reached.
- The resistivity is proportional to  $T^{-3/2}$ . The vacuum region is simply a low temperature region outside the separatrix.
- A localized density source in included to offset diffusive flux out of the simulation domain.
- Viscosity smoothly becomes vary large at the boundary to damp flows in the vacuum region.

#### **Results: Toroidal Steady States**



Hydrodynamic profiles relax to a true steady-state. Here are the initial and steady-state profiles for a simulation of an NSTX-like profile  $(a/R \approx 1, \beta \approx 15\%)$ :



# **Results: Comparison with Theory**



- The current implementation of gyroviscosity has been tested successfully against the previous (published) implementation that used analytic integrations. M3D-C<sup>1</sup> with GV also was able to reproduce linear eigenvalues of MRI in toroidal geometry accurately.
- Simulation results agree relatively well with one-dimensional analytic predictions of the cross-surface (Pfirsch-Schlüter) flow.





- It was predicted by Stringer [6] that non-rotating toroidal equilibrium should be unstable in resistive-MHD.
- Simulations of a large-aspect ratio, low- $\beta$  plasma confirm that this happens when density diffusion is not too high.
- These simulations are run with the following parameters:

$$\begin{split} B_T/B_p &\approx 200 & q \approx 3 \\ \beta &\approx 2 \times 10^{-4} & S \sim 10^5 \\ a/R &\approx 1/20 & Re \sim 10^8 \\ \kappa &= 10^{-5} n_0 L^2/\tau_A & \kappa_{\parallel} &= n_0 L^2/\tau_A \end{split}$$

### **Results: Spontaneous Rotation**



Poloidal rotation is found to occur spontaneously at sufficiently low rates of density diffusion.



# **Results: Rotation due to gyrovsiscosity**



- We have not observed spontaneous rotation in resistive- or Hall-MHD simulations of NSTX-like plasmas (high- $\beta$ , low aspect-ratio, elongated, and diverted), without gyroviscosity.
- Including gyroviscosity leads to significant rotation in NSTX-like plasmas.
- In the large aspect-ratio simulations, gyroviscosity has virtually no effect.
- We do not fully understand the physics of this spin-up yet.
- These simulations use NSTX coil configurations with the following parameters:

$B_T/B_p \approx 3$	$q \approx 1$
$\beta \approx 15\%$	$S\sim 10^3$
$a/R \approx 1$	$Re \sim 10^5$
$\kappa = 2 \times 10^{-2} n_0 L^2 / \tau_A$	$\kappa_{\parallel} = 75 n_0 L^2 / \tau_A$

### **Results: Toroidal Velocity**





Without gyroviscosity



With gyroviscosity

## **Results:** Poloidal Velocity





Without gyroviscosity



With gyroviscosity



- We have been able to obtain self-consistent steady-states of the extended-MHD equations for realistic plasma configurations with free boundaries.
- The flows observed in the simulations are in relatively good agreement with onedimensional theoretical predictions.
- Spontaneous poloidal rotation has been observed to occur in large aspect-ratio, circular cross-section configurations, as has been predicted.
- Gyroviscosity leads to plasma rotation in NSTX-like configurations.



- We are working to gain an analytical understanding of the spin-up due to gyroviscosity.
- We are also working to simulate H-mode profiles, to observe the self-consistent flows in the presence of an edge pedestal.
- These steady-states will serve as a starting point for three-dimensional linear stability calculations. Work to extend M3D- $C^1$  to do 3D linear stability calculations is beginning.
- We plan to develop M3D- $C^1$  into a fully three-dimensional implicit nonlinear code.



- [1] S. C. Jardin and J. A. Breslau, Phys. Plasmas **12**, 056101 (2005).
- [2] S. C. Jardin, J. Comp. Phys. **200**, 133 (2004).
- [3] X. S. Li and J. W. Demmel, ACM Trans. Mathematical Software 29, 110 (2003).
- [4] S. I. Braginskii, in Reviews of Plasma Physics, edited by M. A. Leontovich (Consultants Bureau, New York, 1965), vol. 1, pp. 205–311.
- [5] E. J. Caramana, J. Comp. Phys. **96**, 484 (1991).
- [6] T. E. Stringer, Phys. Rev. Lett **22**, 770 (1969).