Recent Developments and Stability Results with M3D-C1

N.M. Ferraro, M.S. Chu, P.B. Snyder (GA) S.C. Jardin (PPPL) X. Luo (RPI)

CEMM Meeting Atlanta, GA November 1, 2009



What's New in M3D-C¹

- Non-axisymmetric linear equations
 - Gyrovisc., parallel visc., parallel kappa
- Ability to read in EFIT, GATO, TOQ, etc.
- Semi-implicit Hall operator
- New method for specifying boundary conditions
- Non-axisymmetric external currents
- Capability for discontinuous resistivity
- New physics/benchmarking results
 - See posters TP8.22 and UP8.97 on Thursday



Two Fluid "Noodling" Instability

• Hall term leads to noodling instability at low η



📌 GENERAL ATOMICS

Two-Fluid "Noodling" Instability

- One solution is Arakawa differencing (symmetrize differencing operator)¹
- Another solution is Harned-Mikic dispersion² $(1 - \theta^2 \delta t^2 L) \mathbf{B}^{n+1} = (1 - \theta^2 \delta t^2 L) \mathbf{B}^n - \delta t \frac{\mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B}}{ne}$ $L \propto -(\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla)^2 \nabla^2$
- We have implemented "Hall" operator: $L(\underline{B}) = \nabla \times \left\{ \left[\nabla \times \nabla \times (\underline{J} \times B) \right] \times B + \underbrace{J \times \left[\nabla \times (J \times B) \right]}_{\text{Not yet implemented}} \right\}$ Salmon and Talley, JCP 83:247 (1988)

¹Salmon and Talley, JCP 83:247 (1988) ²Harned and Mikic, JCP 83:1 (1989)



Two-Fluid "Noodling" Instability

- Derivation follows "parabolization" method
- Taylor expand $\dot{\mathbf{B}} = -\nabla \times (\mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B})$

$$\dot{\mathbf{B}} = -\nabla \times (\mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B}) - \theta \,\delta t \,\nabla \times \left[\dot{\mathbf{J}} \times \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{J} \times \dot{\mathbf{B}} \right]$$
$$= -\nabla \times (\mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B}) - \theta \,\delta t \,\nabla \times \left[(\nabla \times \dot{\mathbf{B}}) \times \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{J} \times \dot{\mathbf{B}} \right]$$
$$= -\nabla \times (\mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B}) + \theta \,\delta t \,L(\mathbf{B})$$

$$L(\mathbf{B}) = \nabla \times \left\{ \left[\nabla \times \nabla \times (\mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B}) \right] \times \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{J} \times \left[\nabla \times (\mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B}) \right] \right\}$$

Boundary Conditions with C¹ Elements

- Boundary conditions are of the form
 - $\mathbf{L}' x = \mathbf{X}' \qquad \mathbf{L}' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \partial_n & \partial_t & \partial_n \partial_n & \partial_t \partial_n & \partial_t \partial_t \end{pmatrix}^T$
- Reduced quintic basis obeys: $\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{I} \qquad \mathbf{L} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \partial_R & \partial_Z & \partial_R \partial_R & \partial_R \partial_Z & \partial_Z \partial_Z \end{pmatrix}^{T}$
- Want trial functions obeying $\mathbf{L}' \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu} = \mathbf{I}$
 - μ is maximally coupled with BC equation
 - This implies $\mu = (\mathbf{J}^{-1})^T \cdot \mathbf{v}$ where $\mathbf{L}' = \mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{L}$
- Therefore, before applying BC's, do
- $\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{B} \implies \left(\mathbf{J}^{-1}\right)^T \cdot \left[\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{B}\right]$ For curved boundaries $\mathbf{J} \neq \left(\mathbf{J}^{-1}\right)^T$



Boundary Conditions with C¹ Elements

Correctly treating BCs is important



With transformation



ELM Stability: Ideal Limit

To approximate ideal limit, M3D-C¹ uses a discontinuous resistivity profile

- In this case, resistivity is not represented on the finite element basis (which is C¹)
- η is calculated as a function of ψ at each sampling point





ERAL ATOMICS

ELM Stability: CBM18



- Relatively low resolution requirement
- Good agreement with ELITE/GATO up to n=20

ELM Stability: DBM18



🖈 GENERAL ATOMICS

ELM Stability: Meudas1



ELM Stability: Time Step

- The (unsplit) Crank-Nicholson time discretization is more accurate
- The (split) semi-implicit step is faster, allows larger problem size
- Split convergence might not always be this bad



RAL ATOMICS

Non-Axisymmetric Fields: Vacuum

- The vector components of B are known from Biot-Savart
- Need to translate to M3D-C¹ variables



Non-Axisymmetric Fields: Vacuum



Even Parity

SURFMN



Dashed white line is *m* = *n*q



Non-Axisymmetric Fields: Quasi-Ideal Response

Perturbation on resonant surface is suppressed **Even Parity** Odd Parity



Dashed white line is m = nq

Conclusions

- Semi-implicit Hall operator works well in axisymmetric simulations
- New BC method greatly improves stability
- M3D-C¹ finds excellent agreement with ideal codes on ELM stability for low/intermediate n
 - Resolution requirements are onerous at high n
 - Effect of gyroviscosity is negligible at low n
- Vacuum RMP calculation agrees with Surfmn
- Linear RMP response shows both expected and unexpected features



Future Work

Comprehensive Study of Non-Ideal Effects in ELM Stability

- Resistive (Type III)
- Two-Fluid
- Non-stationary equilibrium
- Comparison of linear RMP response with experimental results
 - Dynamical response explored in DIII-D experiment
- Nonlinear extension

