

MHD Simulations of Disruptions in NSTX

J.A. Breslau¹, H.R. Strauss², R. Paccagnella³,
S.C. Jardin¹

¹Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton, NJ

²HRS Fusion, West Orange, NJ

³Consorzio RFX, Padua, Italy



Abstract

Research tokamaks such as ITER must be designed to tolerate a limited number of disruptions without sustaining significant damage. It is therefore vital to have numerical tools that can accurately predict the effects of these events. The 3D nonlinear extended MHD code M3D [1] has been augmented with models of the vacuum/halo region and a thin axisymmetric resistive shell that allow it to simulate disruptions and calculate the associated wall currents and forces [2]. Its reliability, however, must be assessed with careful validation studies against disruption databases from existing experiments. Here we compare M3D VDE/kink disruption calculations with data from NSTX. The results of high-resolution numerical simulations at realistic Lundquist numbers show reasonable agreement with experimental data and provide confidence that M3D will be a useful tool for future ITER calculations. The effects of different choices of plasma outflow boundary conditions will also be reported.

[1] W. Park, et al., *Phys. Plasmas* **6** (1999) 1796.

[2] H. R. Strauss, et al., *Phys. Plasmas* **17** (2010) 082505.


Motivation

- A significant fraction of tokamak discharges at fusion-relevant parameters terminate in disruptions.
- As experiments are scaled up, the stored energy becomes higher, and the potential structural damage due to each disruption increases.
- Accurate quantitative prediction of the distributions of transient currents and attendant forces in conducting structures surrounding a disrupting ITER plasma is vital so that these structures can be designed to survive them.
- 3D nonlinear MHD codes with resistive wall boundary conditions are an appropriate tool for calculating currents and forces due to disruptions, but must first be validated against data from experiments such as NSTX, in which they are not catastrophic.


NSTX XP833 (2010):

Halo current dependencies on I_p/q_{95} , vertical velocity, and halo resistance

Reference shot without forced disruption drive, based on 129416:



Shot 132859, with deliberately misadjusted vertical field control, terminates in VDE:



The M3D Code

M3D (multi-level 3D) is a parallel 3D nonlinear extended MHD code in toroidal geometry maintained by a multi-institutional collaboration.

- Physics models include ideal and resistive MHD; two-fluid with just ω^* or ω^* and Hall terms; or hybrid with kinetic hot ions or kinetic bulk ions and fluid electrons.
- Uses linear, 2nd, or 3rd-order finite elements in-plane.
- Uses 4th-order finite differences between planes or pseudo-spectral derivatives.
- Partially implicit treatment allows efficient advance over dissipative and fast wave time scales but requires small time steps relative to τ_A .
- Linear operation: full nonlinear + filtering, active equilibrium maintenance to find fastest-growing toroidal eigenmodes.
- Nonlinear operation: all components of all quantities evolve nonlinearly.
- The PETSc library is used for parallelization and linear solves with Krylov methods.

Extended MHD Equations

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v}_i) = 0$$

$$\rho \left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} + (\mathbf{v}_i^* \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v}_\perp \right] = -\nabla p + \mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B} + \mu \nabla^2 \mathbf{v}$$

$$\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B} = \eta \mathbf{J} - \frac{\nabla_\parallel p_e}{ne}$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = -\nabla \times \mathbf{E}$$

$$\mathbf{J} = \nabla \times \mathbf{B}$$

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla p = -\gamma p \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} + \nabla \cdot n \chi_\perp \nabla \left(\frac{p}{\rho} \right) - \mathbf{v}_i^* \cdot \nabla p - \gamma p \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_i^* + \frac{\mathbf{J} \cdot \nabla p_e}{ne} + \gamma p_e \mathbf{J} \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{1}{ne} \right)$$

$$\frac{\partial p_e}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla p_e = -\gamma p_e \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} + \nabla \cdot n \chi_{\perp e} \nabla \left(\frac{p_e}{\rho} \right) + \frac{\mathbf{J}_\parallel \cdot \nabla p_e}{ne} - \gamma p_e \nabla \cdot \left(\mathbf{v}_e^* - \frac{\mathbf{J}_\parallel}{ne} \right)$$

where

$$\mathbf{v}_e^* \equiv -\frac{\mathbf{B} \times \nabla p_e}{neB^2}, \quad \mathbf{v}_i^* \equiv \mathbf{v}_e^* + \frac{\mathbf{J}_\perp}{ne},$$



$$\mathbf{v} \equiv \mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_i^* = \mathbf{v}_e - \mathbf{v}_e^* + \frac{\mathbf{J}_\parallel}{ne}$$

Artificial sound wave model for κ_\parallel :

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = s \frac{\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla u}{\rho}$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = s \mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla T + \nu \nabla^2 u$$

Meshing the NSTX Vessel




Each poloidal section has
101 radial zones
61,206 triangular elements
30,907 vertices
606 boundary vertices

Case 1 parameters

Plasma resistivity on axis* $\eta_0 = S^{-1}$	10^{-4}
$\eta_{\text{vacuum}} / \eta_0$	100
$\eta_{\text{wall}} / \eta_0$	500 ($\tau_w / \tau_A = 20$)
Prandtl number μ / η_0	1
Perpendicular heat conduction κ_{\perp} / η_0	1
Effective parallel heat conduction v_{Te} / v_A	2
Density evolution	Off (uniform, constant)
Size of initial $n=1$ perturbation	5×10^{-3}
Number of toroidal modes	4 (12 poloidal planes)

*In the plasma, $\eta(T) / \eta_0 = (T / T_0)^{-3/2}$, where T_0 is the initial temperature on axis.

Recreation of Shot 132859 from 0.280 s



Initial equilibrium is VDE-unstable.
 $q_0 \approx 1$.

Plasma is displaced downward,
along with growth of $n=1$
instability.

Confinement is lost, heat
deposited in divertor region.

Toroidally Asymmetric Halo Current Figures of Merit

Toroidal peaking factor (TPF): If there are N poloidal planes, $j=1,2,3,\dots,N$, then




$$TPF \equiv \frac{\text{Max}_{j=1}^N \left\{ \oint_{\Gamma_j} R |\mathbf{J} \cdot \hat{n}| dl \right\}}{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \left\{ \oint_{\Gamma_j} R |\mathbf{J} \cdot \hat{n}| dl \right\}}$$

Halo fraction (HF):

$$HF \equiv \frac{\frac{2\pi}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \left\{ \oint_{\Gamma_j} R |\mathbf{J} \cdot \hat{n}| dl \right\}}{I_{p0}},$$




where I_{p0} is the total plasma current in the initial equilibrium.

Time History




Halo Current Distribution at Peak

$t = 132.68$



$n=1$ component is of comparable size to $n=0$.

Non-equilibrium Wall Force Distribution, $t=132.68$




- Net horizontal force = 0.246, in direction $\varphi = 4.910$.
- Net vertical force = -0.591.

Case 2 parameters


Plasma resistivity on axis* $\eta_0=S^{-1}$	10^{-5}
$\eta_{\text{vacuum}} / \eta_0$	5000
$\eta_{\text{wall}} / \eta_0$	250 ($\tau_w/\tau_A=400$)
Prandtl number μ / η_0	10
Perpendicular heat conduction κ_{\perp} / η_0	10
Effective parallel heat conduction v_{Te} / v_A	2
Density evolution	Off (uniform, constant)
Size of initial $n=1$ perturbation	5×10^{-3}
Number of toroidal modes	4 (12 poloidal planes)

*In the plasma, $\eta(T) / \eta_0 = (T / T_0)^{-3/2}$, where T_0 is the initial temperature on axis.


Case 2 Snapshots



Initial VDE-unstable equilibrium






Plasma is displaced downward,
along with growth of $n=1$
instability.




Confinement is lost, heat
deposited in divertor region.

Time History





Halo Current Distribution at Peak

$t = 708.89$




Current peaks on lower Group 12 plate.



$n=1$ component is again comparable to $n=0$.

Non-equilibrium Wall Force Distribution, $t=708.89$



- Net horizontal force = 0.0232, in direction $\varphi = 4.969$.
- Net vertical force = -0.563.

Conclusions and Future Work

- M3D is capable of reproducing the qualitative characteristics of an NSTX disruption.
 - Wall-time dependence of current quench rate
 - Kink-like distribution of transient halo current
- Further work is needed to make quantitative contact with the NSTX shot database.
 - Compare with magnetics and SXR data.
 - Validate against a wider range of shots.

Sign up for copies