### Modeling of RMP-Flutter-Induced Transport in DIII-D

#### by Sterling Smith

With J. Callen, N. Ferraro, P. Raum, O. Meneghini

Presented to the ITPA 23rd Pedestal and Edge Topical Group Meeting, San Diego, USA

#### 16 October 2012





#### Motivation: Need to Understand Physics of ELM Suppression to Be Able to Project to Future Devices

- Pedestal grows until ELM hits
- RMP coils can inhibit pedestal growth to prevent ELMs
- What are possible physics mechanisms that inhibit growth?
  - Vacuum Islands Picture:
    - 3D Fields → Overlapping Islands → Stochastic Transport
  - Plasma Islands Picture:
    - 3D Fields → Flow Screening → Strategically Placed Isolated Islands
  - Magnetic Flutter Picture:

3D Fields → Flow Screening → Isolated Islands →

Radial Field Line Wiggles Between Islands

 In this talk, magnetic flutter induced transport is shown to be of an experimentally (DIII-D) relevant level



#### Outline

- Experimental Observations
- Vacuum vs Plasma Response
- Magnetic Flutter Equations
- Comparison of Model to Experiment
  - 126006
  - 126440
- q95 Scan Using Model Equilibria
- Summary



## DIII-D has both internal and external magnetic field coils



n=3 I-coil and n=1 C-coil configuration (with up-down symmetric, even parity, I-coil)

- I-coils are single turn
- For ELM suppression, the I-coils are usually used singly or in odd parity



#### **3D Fields from I-Coils Are Used for ELM Suppression**





#### RMP Fields Have Complicated Effects on the Kinetic Profiles





#### Plasma Response Reduces Region of 3D Fields-Induced Magnetic Stochasticity; Flutter Remains Between Islands

Vacuum

M3D-C1 plasma response - two-fluid, 126006 3600ms efit06, monochromatic n=3 I-coil 4kA

Plasma



- M3D-C1 (Two-Fluid MHD) calculates linear perturbations
- TRIP-3D calculates Poincaré plots
- Shot 126006, Ion Rotation is Carbon Rotation



#### Magnetic Flutter Model has Kinetic and Geometric Contributions; Rational Surfaces are Important

Flux ~ electron density \* diffusivity \* gradient

 $\begin{bmatrix} \delta \Gamma_{e\mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{RMP}} \\ \delta \Upsilon_{e\mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{RMP}}/T_{e} \end{bmatrix} = -n_{e} \begin{bmatrix} D_{e\mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{RMP}} & D_{T}^{\mathrm{RMP}} \\ \chi_{n}^{\mathrm{RMP}} & \chi_{e\mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{RMP}} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} d\ln \hat{p}_{e}/d\rho \\ d\ln T_{e}/d\rho \end{bmatrix}$ 

Total diffusivity = sum of the diffusivities at each point induced by each m/n

 $\begin{bmatrix} D_{et}^{\text{RMP}} & D_T^{\text{RMP}} \\ \chi_n^{\text{RMP}} & \chi_{et}^{\text{RMP}} \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{mn} \begin{bmatrix} D_{et}^{m/n} & D_T^{m/n} \\ \chi_n^{m/n} & \chi_{et}^{m/n} \end{bmatrix}$ 

Diffusivity at q ~ (magnetic perturbations)<sup>2</sup> \* Kinetic/Spatial Coefficients

$$\begin{bmatrix} D_{et}^{m/n} & D_T^{m/n} \\ \chi_n^{m/n} & \chi_{et}^{m/n} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{v_{Te}^2}{\nu_e} \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\langle \delta \hat{B}_{\rho \, m/n}^{\text{pl}} \rangle}{B_{t0}} \right)^2 \begin{bmatrix} K_{00} & K_{01} \\ K_{10} & K_{11} \end{bmatrix}$$

Kinetic/Spatial Coefficients:

NATIONAL FUSION FACILITY SAN DIEGO

S.P. Smith/ITPA Pedestal & Edge San Diego/Oct 2012

X – normed distance off a rational surface

(X = 0 on the given m/n)

y – normalized electron kinetic energy

• 
$$\chi_e \alpha < \delta B_{mn} > 2$$

 <δB<sub>mn</sub>> provided by linear M3D-C1 calculations

#### 126006: δB<sub>om/n</sub> Has Flow Screening at Most Rational





#### 126006: Radially Averaged x Effective Matches Experimental Diffusivity Reasonably Well





#### 126006: For Judicious Boundary Condition, T<sub>e</sub> is Well Ma<u>tched Across the Top of the Pedestal</u>





# 126440: : $\delta B_{pm/n}$ Has Flow Screening As Well As Amplification



12

#### 126440: Radially Averagedx Effective Matches Experimental Diffusivity Reasonably Well





### 126440: For $\chi$ Effective, with Judicious Boundary Condition, $T_e$ is Well Matched Across the Top of the Pedestal





14

### q profile varied by changing $B_{T0} \pm 2\%$ , $\pm 5\%$ ; 3.5<q95<3.9





#### Radially Averaged Diffusivity at Top of Pedestal Might Show Peak When a 95 is in Suppression Window





16

Diffusivity Differences Have Only Slight Effects on the Ta Profile at the Toront the Profile total





#### Summary

- The Magnetic Flutter Induced Plasma Transport Model has been evaluated for 2 DIII-D discharges where ELMs were suppressed.
  - The predicted radially averaged diffusivities are of an experimentally relevant magnitude (~m<sup>2</sup>/s).
  - The predicted temperature profiles have sub-measurement flattening on rational surfaces.
  - The overall predicted temperature profile shapes generally match experiment.
- The Model has been used to evaluate a q95 scan to search for a possible explanation for the q95 window seen in DIII-D
  - Results here are preliminary.
  - The radially averaged diffusivities hint at larger diffusivities around the pedestal top for q95 in the suppression window.



#### **Acknowledgements**

- Jim Callen Theory
- Peter Raum NUF (Undergraduate) Student from Virginia Tech
- Orso Meneghini OMFIT (One Modeling Framework for Integrated Tasks)
- Nate Ferraro M3D-C1
- Dmitri Orlov TRIP-3D
- Saskia Mordjick, Rick Moyer, Todd Evans RMP ELM Suppression Data

