Disruption Current Asymmetry and Rotation

H. Strauss, HRS Fusion

R. Paccagnella, Consorzio RFX and Istituto Gas Ionizzati del C.N.R.

L. Sugiyama, *MIT* J. Breslau, *PPPL* S. Jardin, *PPPL*

CEMM / APS 2014

Outline

- Disruption Current Asymmetry
 - theory
 - numerical simulations
- Rotation
 - fit of numerical data and analytic scaling
 - theory of angular momentum generation

Toroidal variation of toroidal current in JET



Toroidal current variation $\Delta I_{\phi} = \int \tilde{J}_{\phi} dR dZ$ vs. the vertical moment $\Delta M_{IZ} = \int Z \tilde{J}_{\phi} dR dZ$ of the current variations. [Gerasimov *et al.* N.F. 2014]

This was interpreted by the Hiro current model [Zakharov *et al.* 2012]. It was shown analytically [Strauss *et al.* 2010] that the slope is proportional to VDE displacement. This is verified by M3D simulations [Strauss, Phys. Plasmas **21**, 102509 (2014)].

Theory of current asymmetry and vertical current moment

The relationship of the toroidal current variations \tilde{I}_{ϕ} to the toroidally varying part of the vertical moment of the current \tilde{M}_{IZ} is essentially a kinematic effect of displacing a current perturbation by a VDE.

The toroidally varying poloidal magnetic field is approximately

$$\mathbf{B} = \nabla \tilde{\psi} \times \hat{\phi},\tag{1}$$

and the perturbed toroidal current density in polar coordinates is

$$\tilde{J}_{\phi} = -\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} r \frac{\partial \tilde{\psi}}{\partial r} - \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{\psi}}{\partial \theta^2}.$$
(2)

with $\tilde{\psi} = \psi - \oint \psi d\phi/(2\pi)$. The toroidal current is

$$\tilde{I}_{\phi} = \oint \int_{0}^{a} \tilde{J}_{\phi} r dr d\theta = -\oint \frac{\partial \tilde{\psi}}{\partial r} a d\theta$$
(3)

in a circular cross section where the boundary is r = a. The vertical current moment is

$$\tilde{M}_{IZ} = \oint \int_0^a \tilde{J}_{\phi} r^2 \sin \theta dr d\theta = -\oint \frac{\partial \tilde{\psi}}{\partial r} a^2 \sin \theta d\theta \tag{4}$$

where it was assumed that the wall is a good conductor, so that $\tilde{\psi} \approx 0$ at r = a.

The poloidal flux change $\delta\tilde\psi$ produced by an axisymmetric displacement potential Φ satisfies

$$\delta \tilde{\psi} = \nabla \Phi \times \nabla \tilde{\psi} \cdot \hat{\phi} \tag{5}$$

The VDE displacement potential has the form $\Phi = \xi_{VDE}(r) \cos \theta$. Iterating in ξ_{VDE} ,

$$\tilde{\psi}_{k+1} = \frac{1}{r} \left(\xi'_{VDE} \frac{\partial \tilde{\psi}_k}{\partial \theta} \cos \theta + \xi_{VDE} \tilde{\psi}'_k \sin \theta \right)$$
(6)

where the prime denotes a radial derivative and $\tilde{\psi} = \tilde{\psi}_1 + \tilde{\psi}_2 + \tilde{\psi}_3 + \dots$ where $\tilde{\psi}_{k+1} \propto \xi_{VDE}^k$. The boundary conditions are $\tilde{\psi}_k(a) = \xi_{VDE}(a) = 0$. This yields, at the wall,

$$\tilde{\psi}_{k+1}' = \frac{\xi_{VDE}'}{a} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (\tilde{\psi}_k' \cos \theta) + 2\tilde{\psi}_k' \sin \theta \right)$$
(7)

Summing (7) over k and integrating over θ gives

$$\sum_{k=1}^{K} \oint \tilde{\psi}'_{k+1} d\theta = 2 \frac{\xi'_{VDE}}{a} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \oint \tilde{\psi}'_{k} \sin \theta d\theta$$
(8)

Using (3),(4), and (8) gives

$$\tilde{I}_{\phi} = 2 \frac{\xi'_{VDE}}{a^2} \tilde{M}_{IZ}.$$
(9)

The ratio $\tilde{I}_{\phi}/\tilde{M}_{IZ}$ is proportional to the VDE displacement. For an upward VDE, $\xi'_{VDE}(a) > 0$.

M3D simulations of current asymmetry and vertical current moment

ITER FEAT15MA equilibrium was modified by setting toroidal current and pressure to zero outside the q = 2 surface, keeping the total toroidal current constant (MGI model) [Izzo *et al.* 2008]. Plasma was evolved in 2D to an initial VDE displacement, then evolved in 3D.

An additional set of states was made by setting current and pressure equal to zero outside the q = 1.5 surface. These states were unstable to downward VDEs.

The perturbed current and vertical displacement were measured as

$$\Delta I_{\phi} = \frac{1}{V} \left(\oint \frac{d\phi}{2\pi} < \tilde{J}_{\phi} >^2 \right)^{1/2}$$
(10)

$$\Delta M_{IZ} = \frac{1}{V} \left(\oint \frac{d\phi}{2\pi} < Z \tilde{J}_{\phi} >^2 \right)^{1/2}$$
(11)
(12)

where

$$V = \int dR dZ$$

$$< \tilde{J}_{\phi} > = \int dR dZ \tilde{J}_{\phi}$$

6

magnetic flux and toroidal current

Upward VDE: (a) ψ (b) J_{ϕ} with $\xi = 0.72a$, time $t = 146\tau_A$, toroidal angle $\phi = 0$. Downward VDE: (c) ψ (d) J_{ϕ} with $\xi = -0.71a$, time $t = 53\tau_A$, and $\phi = 0$. Plasma is turbulent, not an equilibrium with surface current.

Time history of perturbed current and vertical current moment

M3D simulations were done with $S = 10^6$, wall penetration time $\tau_{wall} = 10^4 \tau_A$. Velocity boundary condition $v_n = 0$.



Time history of ΔI_{ϕ} , ΔM_{IZ} . (a) upward VDE with $\xi = 0.72a$. (b) downward VDE with $\xi = -0.71a$.



Time averaged $\Delta I_{\phi}/\Delta M_{IZ}$ and time histories $\Delta I_{\phi}, \Delta M_{IZ}$

(a) Time averages of ΔI_{ϕ} , ΔM_{IZ} . Showing $\Delta I_{\phi}/\Delta M_{IZ} \propto \xi$, for $|\xi| \stackrel{<}{\sim} 1$, when plasma current channel reaches the wall. (b) Time histories of ΔI_{ϕ} , ΔM_{IZ} for the cases in (a). This is similar to JET data. It does not depend on Hiro current model.

In [Strauss *et al.* 2014] the toroidal rotation caused by disruptions was calculated. The previous set of states was used to calculate V_{ϕ} , the maximum in time of the volume average of the toroidal velocity.



 V_{ϕ} as a function of vertical displacement. The plasma was evolved in a 2D VDE to height ξ , then evolved in 3D. Also shown is the magnetic perturbation δB , which is calculated from ΔM . Simulational points and V_{ϕ} fit to (13) are shown. The fit has $A_1 = 12$, $A_2 = 8$ in the function

$$\frac{V_{\phi}}{v_A} = A_1 \frac{\xi}{r} \left[1 + A_2 \left(\frac{\xi}{r}\right)^2 \right] \left(\frac{\delta B}{B}\right)^2. \tag{13}$$

Estimating δB from ΔM

In a circular cross section with large aspect ratio, let

$$\tilde{B}_{\theta} = B_{11} \sin(\theta + \phi) + B_{21} \cos(2\theta + \phi).$$

Displacing

$$B_{21}(r-\xi\sin\theta)\approx B_{21}-B_{21}'\xi\sin\theta$$

by a VDE, then

$$\Delta M_{IZ} = (1/2)B_{11} + (\xi/4)B'_{21}.$$

Note that $\Delta M_{IZ} \approx 0$ for $\xi = 0$, which implies $B_{11} \approx 0$, because it is an internal mode. In the plot

$$\delta B = (r/\xi) \Delta M_{IZ} \approx (1/2)|B_{21}|.$$

For nonzero ξ , B_{11} can be nonzero. The data available at present does not distinguish between (1, 1) and (2, 1) magnetic perturbations.

Future work will use a better measure of δB .

Scaling of toroidal velocity with ξ and β_N



These cases compare equilibria with $\beta_N = 2.7$ and $\beta_N = 0.27$. In the low β_N case, $A_2 \approx 0$. The fit is very good for $\xi/r < 0.6$. There is only low β_N data for $\xi > 0$. The $\beta_N = 2.7$ and δB data is the same as on the previous slide, for $\xi > 0$.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}L_{\phi} = \oint (RB_{\phi}B_n - \rho Rv_{\phi}v_n)Rdld\phi$$
(14)

where the total toroidal angular momentum is

$$L_{\phi} = \int \rho R^2 v_{\phi} dR dZ d\phi \tag{15}$$

and the integral in (14) is over the boundary. Using the M3D magnetic field representation,

$$\mathbf{B} = \nabla \psi \times \nabla \phi + \frac{1}{R} \nabla_{\perp} F + G \nabla \phi \tag{16}$$

in (14) yields

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}L_{\phi} = \oint G \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial l} dl d\phi \tag{17}$$

where $\partial F/\partial n = 0$ at the boundary. We have assumed that $v_{\phi} = 0$ at the boundary, but not $v_n = 0$ at the boundary, although we have done so in simulations with M3D.

If $G = G(\psi)$, then toroidal angular momentum L_{ϕ} is conserved. This is the case in an equilibrium satisfying the Grad - Shafranov equation. If the plasma is not in equilibrium, such as during a disruption or ELM, then net flow can be generated.

Analytic model of rotation source - 1

To express \dot{L}_{ϕ} in terms of magnetic perturbations, the magnetic fluxes ψ and G can be split into equilibrium and toroidally varying parts, $\psi = \psi_0 + \psi_1$, $G = G_0 + G_1 + G_2$. For simplicity we assume circular equilibrium cross sections, $dl = rd\theta$. To obtain a tractable equation for G, assume radial force balance,

$$\frac{G^2}{R^2} + B_\theta^2 + 2p \approx 0 \tag{18}$$

and assume large aspect ratio so that $R \approx R_0 = \text{constant}$. Then \dot{L}_{ϕ} can be split into two parts, $\dot{L}_{\phi} = \dot{L}_{\phi B} + \dot{L}_{\phi p}$ where

$$\dot{L}_{\phi B} = -\frac{R}{2B_{\phi 0}} \oint \frac{\partial \psi_0}{\partial \theta} B_{\theta 1}^2 d\theta d\phi$$
⁽¹⁹⁾

$$\dot{L}_{\phi p} = -\frac{R}{B_{\phi 0}} \oint \frac{\partial \psi_0}{\partial \theta} p d\theta d\phi$$
⁽²⁰⁾

The plasma is displaced by a VDE with $(m, n) = (1, 0), \psi_0 = \psi_0(r - \xi_{10} \sin \theta)$. Hence

$$\frac{\partial \psi_0}{\partial \theta} = \xi_{10} \cos \theta B_{\theta 0} \tag{21}$$

where $B_{\theta} = -\partial \psi / \partial r$. Then $\dot{L}_{\phi B} = \xi r R / (2q) \oint B_{\theta 1}^2 \cos \theta d\theta d\phi$.

14

Analytic model of rotation source - 2

There must be at least two modes (m, n), (m + 1, n) contributing to $B_{\theta 1}$ which beat together to give a $\cos \theta$ term. Expanding $B_{\theta 1} = \sum_{mn} B_{\theta mn} \cos(m\theta - n\phi)$ gives

$$\dot{L}_{\phi B} = \frac{\pi^2 \xi r R}{2q} \sum_{mn} B_{\theta mn} B_{\theta (m+1)n}$$
(22)

To compare with the scaling (13), let $\dot{v}_{\phi} = \gamma v_{\phi}$, in (14). Then (22) yields

$$A_1 = \frac{1}{4\gamma\tau_A q} \tag{23}$$

and taking $\gamma \tau_A = 0.01$ gives agreement with $A_1 = 12$ in (13). The calculation of (20), is given in [Strauss *et al.* 2014].

$$\frac{dL_{\phi}}{dt} = \frac{\pi^2}{2} rqp_0' \xi_{10}^3 \frac{R}{B^3} \sum_{mn} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left[\frac{m(m+1)B_{\theta mn}B_{\theta(m+1)n}}{(m-nq)(m+1-nq)} \right]$$
(24)

Setting the denominators in (24) equal to unity gives the ratio $\dot{L}_{\phi p}/\dot{L}_{\phi B} = A_2(\xi/r)^2$, with

$$A_2 = \frac{q}{2} [1 + m(m+1)] \beta'_N (\ln \delta B)' r^2$$
(25)

Taking m = 1, q = 2, $(\ln \delta B)'r = 1$, $\beta_N = \beta'_N r = 2.7$, gives $A_2 = 8$ in agreement with (13).

Conclusions

- Relation of ΔI to ΔM .
 - $\Delta I \propto \xi \Delta M$ where ξ is VDE displacement
 - Simulations include upward and downward VDEs
 - Does not require Hiro current model
- Scaling of V_{ϕ} with $\xi, \delta B, \beta_N$.
 - used same data set as above
 - estimated δB from ΔM
 - new term independent of β_N .