Two Fluid Numerical Experiments*

NIMROD Meeting D. C. Barnes Center for Integrated Plasma Studies Univ. of Colorado

*Support gratefully acknowledged from Scott Parker (CU/CIPS-SciDAC) and OFES

Outline

- Physics of HMHD (Hall-MHD)
- Some formulation details
- 2nd order s-i HMHD operator
- 0-D results
- The fly in the ointment
- Back to split Δt
- 1-D results (split Δt)
- NIMROD implementation status

Physics of HMHD

- We never learned this in graduate school (at least I didn't)
- Full (warm 2 fluid) dispersion relation has 3 waves (cubic)
- This was given by Stringer (1963) and is also discussed by Swanson

$$w = \omega^{2}$$

$$w^{3} - Aw^{2} + Bw - C = 0$$

$$A = k_{\parallel}^{2} + (1 + \hat{\beta})k^{2} + H^{2}k_{\parallel}^{2}k^{2}$$

$$B = k_{\parallel}^{2}k^{2}(1 + 2\hat{\beta} + \hat{\beta}H^{2}k^{2})$$

$$C = \hat{\beta}k^{2}k_{\parallel}^{4}$$

Physics of HMHD



Whistler, KAW, IIC $k_{\perp}/k_{\parallel} = 100, H = 0.1, \hat{\beta} = 0.01$

Some Formulation Details.1

- Convenient to define "field-line velocity" **u**_B
- Almost electron velocity

$$\mathbf{u}_{B} = \mathbf{u} - \frac{1}{en} \left(\frac{\nabla \times \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}}{\mu_{0}} - \frac{\lambda}{\mu_{0}} \widetilde{\mathbf{B}} - \frac{\widehat{\mathbf{b}} \times \nabla \widetilde{\mathbf{P}}_{e}}{B} \right); \ \lambda = \frac{\mathbf{B} \bullet \nabla \times \mathbf{B}}{B^{2}}$$
$$\Rightarrow \nabla \times \mathbf{u}_{B} \times \mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \left(\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{B} - \frac{\nabla \times \widetilde{\mathbf{B}} / \mu_{0} \times \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{J}_{\parallel} \times \widetilde{\mathbf{B}} - \nabla_{\perp} \widetilde{\mathbf{P}}_{e}}{en} \right)$$

 Almost HMHD Ohm's law (and as close as we will come in this talk)

Some Formulation Details.2

• We will assume the induction equation becomes

$$\frac{\partial \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}}{\partial t} = \nabla \times \mathbf{u}_B \times \mathbf{B} + corrections$$

and deal with corrections later

Some Formulation Details.3

An interesting equation results for evolution of u_B

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} Mn \mathbf{u}_{B} = \mathbf{\ddot{F}} \bullet \mathbf{\xi} - \frac{\mathbf{\hat{b}}}{\Omega_{i}} \times \mathbf{\ddot{F}} \bullet \mathbf{u}_{B} + corrections$$
$$\mathbf{\ddot{F}} \text{ is MHD force operator}$$

- Which suggests several things
 - Use semi-impl differencing here and B equation as in NIMROD
 - Maybe it is important that Hall term is cross product with symmetric operator?

2nd-order SI HMHD operator

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}^{n+1/2} - \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}^{n-1/2} = \Delta t \nabla \times \mathbf{u}_B \times \mathbf{B}$$

$$\left(Mn - \frac{\sigma \Delta t^2}{4} \vec{\mathbf{F}} + \frac{\sigma_H \Delta t}{2\Omega_i} \hat{\mathbf{b}} \times \vec{\mathbf{F}} \right) \bullet \left(\mathbf{u}_B^{n+1} - \mathbf{u}_B^n \right) =$$

$$\Delta t \left(\mathbf{F}_{ex} - \frac{\hat{\mathbf{b}}}{\Omega_i} \times \vec{\mathbf{F}} \bullet \mathbf{u}_B^n \right)$$

Use asymmetric solver to invert operator

0-D Tests



Energy Conservation





KAW

IIC

The Fly in the Ointment -1-D Tests

- 2nd-order does not work at all!
- High k_{\perp} generated at boundary (inevitable, since spatial differencing is 1st-order there)
- Convectively unstable
- Cause is asymmetric operator

 Any error in k between explicit and implicit terms causes instability (greater or lesser, in contrast to symmetric systems)

Back to the Future – Split Δt

- Use previous \mathbf{u}_{B} advance, but split MHD and Hall terms
- Use 2nd-order si operator in Hall step
- PC on Hall step, if required

$$\left(Mn - \frac{\sigma \Delta t^2}{4}\vec{\mathbf{F}}\right) \bullet \left(\mathbf{u}_B^* - \mathbf{u}_B^n\right) = \Delta t \mathbf{F}_{ex}$$

$$\left(Mn - \frac{\sigma \Delta t^2}{4} \vec{\mathbf{F}}\right) \bullet \left(\mathbf{u}_B^{n+1} - \mathbf{u}_B^*\right) = -\frac{\Delta t \hat{\mathbf{b}}}{\Omega_i} \times \vec{\mathbf{F}} \bullet \mathbf{u}_B^{pc}$$

where pc = * or estimated 1/2 time level

That's right, it's the SI <u>MHD</u> operator here!!

1-D Results



$$\Delta t = 1 \ \tau_A, N_x = 100, H = 0.1, k_{\parallel} = 1, k_y = 0.1,$$

everything normalized to unity

NIMROD Implementation

- Yes, there is one
- It is 2nd-order not split formulation
- Doesn't work (consistent with theory)
- Shows high *k* convective growth

Some Lessons Learned

- Don't assume asymmetric problems will be cooperative
- Energy conservation cures many ills (so does dissipation, but let's not go there)
- Most problems with HMHD step in explicit (rhs) evaluation
- <u>MUST MUST</u> use cross product with symmetric operator for explicit Hall piece
- Including asymmetric pieces in si operator breaks smoothing properties (not to mention hard to invert)
- Boundary condition for HMHD somewhat obscure
 - Can't have no penetration and conductor both when $H \neq 0$
 - Only one condition allowed (ideal case), *e.g.*
- It's easy to blame boundary for convective instabilities
- NIMROD may not be best for prototyping

Near-term Work

- Find dispersion for split scheme (0-D, 1-D)
- Implement successful 1-D algorithm in NIMROD
- Details about correction terms omitted so far
- Write-up
- Physics applications