NIMROD CDX-U Update and Other Developments

Carl Sovinec and Jake King University of Wisconsin-Madison and the NIMROD Team CEMM Collaboration Meeting Boulder, Colorado March 30, 2008







Topics

- CDX-U Update
 - Parameters
 - Axisymmetric computations
 - Linear results
 - 3D computation
- Tearing mode

The new CDX-U equilibrium is constructed to be a steady state for the entire system of equations.

- Equilibrium *p*, *I*, and ψ read from JSOLVER output, "fixed129x257."
- Pressure profile is $p_0 \left[\alpha \tilde{\psi} + (1 \alpha) \tilde{\psi}^2 \right]$, $\tilde{\psi} = (\psi \psi_{\lim}) / (\psi_{\max} \psi_{\lim})$
- Number density profile $n_i = n_e = n_0 [\alpha + (1 \alpha)\tilde{\psi}]$, $\alpha = 0.1$
- $n_0 = 1.8626 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-19}$ to match $\mu_0 p(0) = 7.5 \times 10^{-4}$ at $T_i = T_e = 100 \text{ eV}$ from e-mails. Z_{eff} does not affect ion density; $n_i = n_e$.
- Field from JSOLVER: I(0) = 0.0470 Tm, R(0) = 0.395 m, $B_{\phi}(0) = 0.119$ T $\tau_A = \frac{R(0)\sqrt{\mu_0 m_p n_0}}{B_{\phi}(0)} = 6.58 \times 10^{-7}$ s
- Perpendicular thermal conductivity to enforce steady state:

$$\kappa_{\perp} = \frac{1}{T' \left\langle \left| \nabla \psi \right|^2 \right\rangle} \left[\frac{V_{loop}}{2\pi\mu_0} \left\langle \frac{\left| \nabla \psi \right|^2}{R^2} \right\rangle \right] \propto \frac{\left\langle B_{pol}^2 \right\rangle}{\left\langle R^2 B_{pol}^2 \right\rangle}$$

Evaluated in FLUXGRID like *n*.

More on new CDX-U equilibrium:

]

 $\kappa \pmod{\chi}$ is almost flat. \rightarrow



• From equilibrium: $J_{\phi}(0) = 6.01 \times 10^5 \text{ A/m}^2$

• JSOLVER fort.76 file from SCJ: $\kappa(0)/n(0)=12.4 \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ [No adjustments made.]

$$V_{loop} = 3.17 \text{ V} \left[= 2\pi\eta R J_{\phi} \text{ for } \frac{\eta(0)}{\mu_0} = 1.69 \text{ m}^2/\text{s} \right]$$

• This resistivity is consistent with Spitzer parallel for $Z_{eff}=2$, $\ln\Lambda=20$, $T_e=100$ eV.

However,
$$\tau_r = \frac{\mu_0 a^2}{\eta(0)} = 0.0359 \text{ s, so } S = 54,500$$

Nonlinear axisymmetric computations check steady state.

- Resistivity ~ $T^{-3/2}$, limited to 100 times axis value; $\chi_{\parallel} \sim (6v_A)^2 \tau_e = 2 \times 10^7 \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$. Viscosity is 10 times initial magnetic diffusivity. $D = \frac{a^2}{1000\tau_A} = 92.7 \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$.
- Ohmic heating, loop-voltage drive from boundary conditions; $I \cdot V$ held constant.
- Equilibrium transferred to *n*=0 component of solution except number density.
- Lower resolution 20×30 bicubic loses 15 of 4626 J over 4400 τ_A .
- Less loss with 32×32 bicubic and biquartic meshes with packing near wall.



Profile changes are slight except J_{ϕ} near wall.



• Loop voltage dips to a minimum of 3.156 V (from 3.17) at 0.12 ms then slowly starts to increase.

Computation with κ_{\perp} reduced by 10% serves as a sensitivity test.





Internal energy increases by 3%.



temperature increasing by 2.3 eV.

Linear results (so far) have n=1 but no converged growing n=2.



• $\Delta t > \tau_A / 3$ produces something that looks like ballooning.

• $\gamma \tau_A = 0.0162$ with anti-rippling $-1.5\eta_0 (\tilde{T}/T_0) \mathbf{J}_0$ term (and close to this in the nonlinear simulation) and $\gamma \tau_A = 0.0114$ without the anti-rippling term.



• Computation ran on 44 Franklin processors in 13.6 wall-clock hours.

Total and internal energies show a significant drop at the first crash but mostly the same decay as axisym. computation afterward.

t

1.0

2.5

0.0

There is no full recovery to the original axisymmetric state.

- Temperature remains about 10 eV lower than initial condition after 1st crash.
- The core remains helical with q computed from the mean remaining close to 1.



Surface of section just before third peak in n=1 energy.



Surface of section 48 μs later (approximately 1.5 ms into simulation).

Peak temperature occurs along a helix, due to enhanced current density and Ohmic heating at the reconnection site, before the loss of the previous magnetic axis.





Temperature just before third peak in n=1 energy. T_{max} is 90.7 eV.

Temperature 48 µs later (approximately 1.5 ms into simulation). T_{max} is 84.6 eV.

Tearing-mode Computation

J. King has extended large guide field linear computations for benchmarking with theory by V.V. Mirnov. [GP8.00143]

| δ/L | Γ (NIMROD) | Γ (Theory) | Error (%) | Box Size | 1 m |
|------|------------|------------|-----------|----------------|----------------------|
| 0.12 | 7.48E-004 | 8.05E-004 | 7.6 | L | 0.1667 m |
| 0.09 | 4.93E-004 | 5.10E-004 | 3.44 | ρ _s | 0.1205 m |
| 0.08 | 3.48E-004 | 3.61E-004 | 3.53 | β | 0.1 |
| 0.05 | 1.61E-004 | 1.61E-004 | 0.49 | Δ' | 1.66 m ⁻¹ |

• Reaching the asymptotic regime with $\rho_s < L$ and L << box requires greater resolution (packed 120×14, biquartic) than previous cases with $L < \rho_s$.

• Table shows small delta-prime, large-beta regime results.

For small δ/L , there is quantitative agreement with Mirnov over a range of beta and with Ramos in the high-beta limit.



Ion Sound Gyroradius over Layer Width

- This scan is done by decreasing the plasma temperature, and thus the plasma beta
- The Ramos theory is independent of beta, and is only valid in the two fluid limit
- The Mirnov theory agrees well in the transition between the two fluid regime and the single fluid regime, however it does not reduce to the single fluid result in that regime

| Box Size | 1 m | | |
|----------|-------------------------|--|--|
| L | 0.1667 m | | |
| δ | ~2 x 10 ⁻² m | | |
| Δ' | 1.66 m ⁻¹ | | |
| S | 6.66 x 10⁵ | | |
| | | | |

Tearing mode (continued)

• A scan to large Δ' is inconclusive so far. Simulation results approach the MHD limit, and we have a discrepancy in evaluating the analytical prediction.

• Cylindrical benchmarks are being performed for core and edge tearing modes in RFP equilibria.

The Magnetic Structure of the Eigenmodes is Largely Unaffected by the Two Fluid Dynamics, However the Velocity Profile is Broadened



• We are also investigating stabilization from non-equilibrium rotation.

• Nonlinear computations (now also with $\rho_s < L$) will be extended to consider multiple helicities in slab and cylindrical geometry.

Conclusions

• We are able to run the new CDX-U cases with loop-voltage drive and Ohmic heating.

- Approximate steady state for axisymmetric computations serves as a benchmark with JSOLVER.
- Linear computations are sensitive to perturbed resistivity term.
- Nonlinear 3D computation shows repeated sawteeth, nearconstant q after initial crash, and thermal snakes.
- Simulation is roughly over the discharge time. How about transients?
- Menard paper is not a good reference for basic discharge information and sawteeth. What are we using for comparison?
- Slab tearing computations that approach the asymptotic show agreement with Mirnov and recent Ramos results.