Tokamak Rotation caused by Disruptions and other MHD Effects

H. Strauss, *HRS Fusion*

L. Sugiyama, *MIT* R. Paccagnella, *Instituto Gas Ionizzati del C.N.R.* J. Breslau, *PPPL*S. Jardin, *PPPL*

Introduction

It was observed that disruptions were accompanied by toroidal rotation [Gerhardt 2012,Granetz 1996, Gerasimov 2010].

There is ^a concern that this rotation may occur during ITER disruptions, causing ^a resonance between rotating toroidal perturbations and the resonant frequencies of the vacuum vessel.

In an MHD model, disruptions can produce rotation.

Rotation is MHD driven zonal flow.

Both toroidal and poloidal rotation are produced.

Toroidal rotation period in disruption is comparable to duration of wall force, ^a fewlinear growth times.

Toroidal rotation is sheared, peak value can be $10\times$ larger than average value.

ELMs can produce rotation.

MHD activity may produce intrinsic toroidal rotation [Rice 2007].

RMPs may also drive rotation, so there might be ^a momentum source without disruptions or ELMs.

Rotation driven by RMP might stabilize RWMs, possibly also VDEs.

Theory

Conservation of toroidal angular momentum:

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}L_{\phi} = \oint (RB_{\phi}B_{n} - \rho R v_{\phi} v_{n})R dl d\phi
$$
\n(1)

where the total toroidal angular momentum is

$$
L_{\phi} = \int \rho R^2 v_{\phi} dR dZ d\phi \tag{2}
$$

and the integral in (1) is over the boundary. Using the M3D magnetic field representation,

$$
\mathbf{B} = \nabla \psi \times \nabla \phi + \frac{1}{R} \nabla_{\perp} F + G \nabla \phi \tag{3}
$$

in (1) yields

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}L_{\phi} = \oint G \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial l} dl d\phi \tag{4}
$$

where $\partial F/\partial n = 0$ at the boundary. We have assumed that $v_{\phi} = 0$ at the boundary, but not $v_n=0$ at the boundary, although we have done so in simulations with M3D.

If $G = G(\psi)$, then toroidal angular momentum L_{ϕ} is conserved. This is the case
in an equilibrium satisfying the Grad - Shafranov equation. If the plasma is not in in an equilibrium satisfying the Grad - Shafranov equation. If the plasma is not inequilibrium, such as during ^a disruption or ELM, then net flow can be generated.

The magnetic fluxes ψ and G can be split into equilibrium and toroidally varying parts,
 $\psi = \psi_1 + \psi_2 + G_3 + G_4$. For simplicity we assume eircular equilibrium eross $\psi = \psi_0 + \psi_1, G = G_0 + G_1.$ For simplicity we assume circular equilibrium cross
sections $dl = r d\theta$. The perturbed magnetic fluxes ψ_1 and G_1 approximately satisfy sections, $dl = r d\theta.$ The perturbed magnetic fluxes ψ_1 and G_1 approximately satisfy
[Strauss 1977] [Strauss 1977]

$$
\psi_1 = B_0 \cdot \nabla \xi \tag{5}
$$

$$
G_1 = -\nabla G_0 \times \nabla \xi \cdot \hat{\phi}
$$
 (6)

where ξ is the perturbation displacement, given by

$$
\xi = \sum_{m} \xi_{mn} \sin(m\theta - n\phi) \tag{7}
$$

Now

$$
\dot{L}_{\phi} = -\frac{G_0' B}{rR} \oint \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left(\frac{1}{q} \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \phi} \right) d\theta d\phi \tag{8}
$$

where we approximated $B/R =$ constant. The integral (8) vanishes except for a term

$$
\dot{L}_{\phi} = \frac{G_0' B}{2rR} \oint \left(\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \theta}\right)^2 \frac{1}{q^2} \frac{\partial q}{\partial \theta} d\theta d\phi.
$$
 (9)

The integral (9) does not vanish if we assume the plasma is displaced by ^a VDE with $(m, n) = (1, 0),$

$$
q = q(r - \xi_{10} \sin \theta), \xi = \xi(r - \xi_{10} \sin \theta, \theta, \phi), \psi(r - \xi_{10} \sin \theta, \theta, \phi)
$$
(10)

Then $\partial q/\partial \theta = -\xi_{10} \cos \theta q'$. Assuming a rigid wall and $\gamma \tau_{wall} \gg 1$, then $\xi = 0, \psi \approx 0$ at the boundary, and $\psi = -\xi_{10} \sin \theta \psi'$, $\xi = -\xi_{10} \sin \theta \xi'$. 0 at the boundary, and $\psi = -\xi_{10} \sin \theta \psi'$, $\xi = -\xi_{10} \sin \theta \xi'$.

We must have at least two modes $(m, n), (m + 1, n)$ contributing to ξ , which beat to express (9) using (5) in terms of B_2 together to give a $\cos\theta$ term. It is useful to express (9) using (5) in terms of $B_\theta=$ $-\psi',$

$$
B_{\theta} = \sum_{mn} B_{\theta mn} \sin(m\theta - n\phi) \tag{11}
$$

with

$$
B_{\theta mn} = -\frac{B}{qR}(m - nq)\xi'_{mn} \tag{12}
$$

which gives

$$
\frac{dL_{\phi}}{dt} = -\pi^2 r q' G_0' \xi_{10}^3 \frac{R}{B} \sum_{mn} \frac{m(m+1) B_{\theta mn} B_{\theta (m+1)n}}{(m-nq)(m+1-nq)} \tag{13}
$$

Magnetic field perturbations at the boundary, as well as ^a vertical asymmetry, canallow for ^a net plasma rotation.

(a) The poloidal magnetic flux ψ during a disruption at toroidal angle $\phi = 0$, time $t = 155\tau_A$. Parameters: $S = 10^5, \tau_w = 10^3\tau_A$. (b) Toroidal magnetic flux G at the same teroidal angle and the same time. The conteurs of G and the are different the same toroidal angle and the same time. The contours of G and ψ are different,
indicating that teroidal angular memontum can be generated. (c) Teroidal velocity v indicating that toroidal angular momentum can be generated. (c) Toroidal velocity v_{ϕ} at the same toroidal angle and time. The flow is sheared; it is zero on axis and small near the wall. $\qquad \qquad \bullet$

(a) slice plot of $v_{\phi}(R, 0, 0)$ at time $t = 155\tau_A$ showing sheared structure. (b) Toroidally varying part of ψ at the same time. (c) Perturbed toroidal magnetic flux G at the same toroidal angle and the same time. The contours of G and ψ are differ-
ont, indicating that toroidal angular momentum can be generated ent, indicating that toroidal angular momentum can be generated.

Time history of the simulation shown in previous figures. Shown are V_{ϕ} , V_{p} , TPF , and $F_x.~V_\phi = <~\rho v_\phi>~/~<~\rho> ,$ where the bracket is the volume average, and $V_p = \langle \sqrt{v_\perp^2} \rangle$ are multiplied by 100. F_x , the sideways wall force, is multiplied
by 10⁴. The retation drive is largest when $T P F$ is largest, and the peak retation by 10⁴. The rotation drive is largest when TPF is largest, and the peak rotation coincides with the peak F_x_\cdot

Toroidal Rotation in Disruptions: Summary

 $V_{\phi}=0.01v_{A},$ duration of V_{ϕ} is about $1/\gamma=100\tau_{A}.$

The plasma makes one rotation during the time when the sideways force F_x is substantial.

The rotation is sheared; spatial maximum of $v_{\phi} \approx 5 \times < v_{\phi} >$, hence 3D struc-
tures equid rotate several times during the disruption, consistent with experimental tures could rotate several times during the disruption, consistent with experimental observations on JET.

The time history of V_{ϕ}, V_{p} is insensitive to $\tau_{wall},$ when $\tau_{wall} \gg \tau_{A}.$

Note $V_{\phi}\approx V_p.$ In disruptions, the poloidal and toroidal velocities are comparable.

The peak density drive is associated with largest TPF. The peak toroidal velocitycoincides with the peak wall force.

The toroidal velocity is damped on ^a longer time scale, by viscosity, mode locking toresistive wall [Hender 1989], or other effects [Boozer, 2010].

Poloidal Rotation

The vorticity is

$$
\dot{w} = -(\nabla \times \frac{\partial \rho \mathbf{v}}{\partial t}) \cdot \nabla \phi = \nabla \cdot (\frac{B_{\phi}}{R} \mathbf{J} - \frac{J_{\phi}}{R} \mathbf{B}) \tag{14}
$$

Then

$$
\dot{W} = \int \dot{w} R dR dZ d\phi = \oint (B_{\phi} J_n - J_{\phi} B_n) dl d\phi \qquad (15)
$$

We find that only the first term on the right is nonzero, with only the term

$$
J_n = \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial n \partial \phi} + \dots \tag{16}
$$

contributing. Expressing G in terms of ξ as in (5),(6), setting $\psi' = -B_\theta$ and expand-
ing $\xi = -\xi_{10} \sin \theta \xi'$ as before ing $\xi = -\xi_{10} \sin \theta \xi'$ as before

$$
\dot{W} = \frac{G_0' \xi_{10}}{R^2} \oint \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (\sin \theta \xi') \frac{\partial B_{\theta}}{\partial \phi} d\theta d\phi \tag{17}
$$

Expanding ξ in Fourier series and expressing ξ'_{mn} in terms of $B_{\theta mn}$ as in (12), we find
that that

$$
\dot{W} = -\frac{\pi^2 q G_0' \xi_{10}}{BR} \sum_{mn} \frac{(2m+1-nq)n B_{\theta mn} B_{\theta (m+1)n}}{(m-nq)(m+1-nq)} \tag{18}
$$

which is similar in form to (13).

10

This is from a movie of a DIII-D ELM simulation in $/project/projectdirs/mp288/sugiyama/movie$ $pasden -psi_126006_movie1a_3drot_n018_n058.mpeg.$ Rotation is obvious in
be movie, and looks like beth poleidal and teroidal retation. Two isosurfaces of den the movie, and looks like both poloidal and toroidal rotation. Two isosurfaces of density are plotted.

ELM simulation of DIII-D 126006, $S = 10^5$, $\tau_{wall} = 100\tau_A$. (a) The poloidal magnetic flux ψ at toroidal angle $\phi = 0$, time $t = 154\tau_A$. (b) perturbation of ψ . (c) toroidal magnetic flux G (d) Toroidally averaged toroidal velocity.

Time history of the simulation shown in previous figure. Shown are V_{ϕ} and V_{\perp} . The maximum value of $V_{\phi}=$ 0.05 v_A . The results are insensitive to τ_{wall}/τ_A .

Intrinsic toroidal rotation

Scaling law of rotational Alfvén Mach number $M_\phi \propto \beta_N$ has been obtained where $M_\phi \,=\, v_\phi/v_A.$ " ... scalings of intrinsic rotation with normalized gyro - radius or
collisionality show no correlation . Whether this suggests the predominant role of collisionality show no correlation. Whether this suggests the predominant role of MHD phenomena such as ballooning transport over turbulent processes in driving the rotation remains an open question." [Rice 2007] This was ^a comparative study of intrinsic toroidal rotation in H mode plasmas, in several experiments.

In a high β large aspect ratio approximation [Strauss 1977], $G_0 = -Rp/B$, so a
β scaling emerges naturally. This tends to be a better approximation in an H mode. β scaling emerges naturally. This tends to be a better approximation in an H mode pedestal, where there is ^a relatively large pressure gradient. The VDE could be replaced by vertical asymmetry, and the 3D perturbations could be ballooning modeswhich occur in ELMs. Writing (13) in terms of the normalized time $1/(\gamma\tau_A)$, and dividing both sides by $\rho\gamma\tau_A$ gives the scaling

$$
M_{\phi} \approx \frac{1}{\gamma \tau_A} \frac{R \xi_{10}^3}{r^3} \frac{B_{\theta mn}^2}{B^2} \beta_N,
$$
\n(19)

where $\beta_N = \epsilon pR/(BI_\phi)$.

Taking $\gamma\tau_A=$ 0.01 as above, $B_\theta/B=$ 0.01, $\xi_{10}/r=$ 0.5 and $\beta_N=$ 3 yields

$$
M_{\phi} \approx 10^{-2} \tag{20}
$$

consistent with the simulations above and with the ITER prediction of [Rice 2007].

RMP toroidal rotation

It is possible to get ^a nonzero RMP net velocity. Like the disruption and ELM cases, it seems to require two modes and ^a vertical asymmetry. The total toroidal momentumis

$$
\dot{L}_{\phi} = \oint \lambda' \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial \phi} r d\theta d\phi. \tag{21}
$$

where the prime is ^a normal derivative, and in the vacuum,

$$
B = \nabla \overline{\psi} \times \nabla \phi + G_0 \nabla \phi + \nabla \lambda \tag{22}
$$

where $G_0 =$ constant, and ψ is independent of ϕ . Again assume a vertical asymme-
try $\lambda = \lambda (r - \xi_{10} \sin \theta \, \theta \, \phi)$. Then try, $\lambda = \lambda (r - \xi_{10} \sin \theta, \theta, \phi)$. Then

$$
\dot{L}_{\phi} = -\xi_{10} \oint \sin \theta (\lambda' \frac{\partial \lambda'}{\partial \phi} + \lambda'' \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial \phi}) r d\theta d\phi \tag{23}
$$

Let $\lambda = \sum_{mn} \lambda_{mn} \cos(m\theta - n\phi)$, and $\lambda'_{mn} \approx (m/r) \lambda_{mn}$. The result is

$$
\dot{L}_{\phi} = \frac{\pi^2}{4r} \xi_{10} \sum_{mn} n(2m+1)^2 \lambda_{mn} \lambda_{(m+1)n}
$$
 (24)

15

Application of RMP to DIII-D 126006 [Strauss *et al.* 2009]. (a) poloidal magnetic flux ψ at toroidal angle $\phi = 0$, time $t = 259\tau_A$. (b) perturbed $n = 3$ poloidal flux (c) toroidal magnetic flux G at same time. (d) toroidal velocity. In this simulation there is
no initial retation. The PMP excites ELM, like perturbations, which in turn produce no initial rotation. The RMP excites ELM - like perturbations, which in turn produce rotation. In previous RMP simulations [Strauss *et al.* 2009] rotation was includedinitially, and it screened the RMP from the plasma.

Time history of the simulation shown in previous figure. Shown are V_{ϕ} and V_{\perp} . The maximum value of $V_{\phi}=$ 0.025 $v_A,$ similar to disruption and ELM.

Conclusions

MHD can drive toroidal and poloidal rotation.

Rotation is MHD driven zonal flow.

Need nonzero B perturbations at the wall to get a net rotation.

Toroidal rotation period in disruption is comparable to duration of wall force, ^a fewlinear growth times. Rotation is damped by viscosity.

Toroidal rotation is sheared, peak value can be $10\times$ larger than average value.

MHD activity may produce intrinsic toroidal rotation [Rice 2007].

RMPs also drive rotation, perhaps there could be rotation without ELMs.

The rotation might be enough to stabilize RWMs in ITER.