Forced Magnetic Reconnection Modeling with NIMROD

Matt Beidler University of Wisconsin - Madison CEMM Meeting: April 3, 2016

Acknowledging: Jim Callen, Chris Hegna, Carl Sovinec, Andi Becerra, Kyle Bunkers, and Eric Howell

Motivation is Verification of Forced Magnetic Reconnection Physics

- RMPs modify the magnetic field at the top of the H-mode pedestal; additional work is needed to understand their role in suppressing or mitigating ELMs
- Benchmarking of forced magnetic reconnection (FMR) with NIMROD and M3D-C¹ is needed to understand general linear and nonlinear responses to applied fields
- FMR in slab and cylindrical geometry is well understood analytically [e.g. Hahm and Kulsrud (1985)] and numerically; verification exercise with NIMROD is necessary before moving on to toroidal problem



We Use the NIMROD Code to Solve the Resistive-MHD Equations

- NIMROD capable of solving extended-MHD equations
 - Assume $\beta = 0$ in the following
- Semi-implicit leapfrog time evolution is used:
 - Hold equilibrium fields
 constant and evolve perturbation fields
- Uses 2-D C⁰ finite elements with Fourier decomposition in third dimension: $\mathbf{A}(R, Z, \phi) = \mathbf{A}_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{A}_n(R, Z) e^{in\phi} + \mathbf{A}_n^*(R, Z) e^{-in\phi}$
 - Expansion coefficients of perturbation fields are complex

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} &= -\nabla \times \mathbf{E} + \kappa_{divb} \nabla \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{E} &= -\mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{B} + \eta \mathbf{J} \\ \mu_0 \mathbf{J} &= \nabla \times \mathbf{B} \\ \frac{\partial n}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (n \mathbf{V}) &= \nabla \cdot D \nabla n \\ \rho \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{V}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{V} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{V} \right) &= \mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B} + \nabla \cdot \nu \rho \nabla \mathbf{V} \end{aligned}$$

Many Parameters Are Specified For NIMROD Modeling

- R, Z, ϕ coordinates with (L_R, L_Z) = (2*a = 2 m, 0.2 m), (n_R,n_Z) = (96,6), and FEs with a polynomial degree of 4
 - 2-D reconnection with symmetric Z direction
 - Grid packing at boundary and rational surface with minimum grid (node spacing)
 ≈ 7.5×10⁻³ m (1.9×10⁻³ m)
- Periodic length of $L_{\phi} = 2 \text{ m}$
 - $n_{\phi} = 1$ linear calculations have $k_{\phi} \equiv 2\pi n_{\phi}/L_{\phi} = \pi m^{2}$
- $B_{z,0} = 10 \text{ T}, B_{\phi,0} = 0.1 \text{ T}, \Delta' = -2\pi$ • $\tau_A \equiv a^* (B_{\phi,0}^2 / \mu_0 \rho)^{1/2} = 1.45 \times 10^{-6} \text{ s} \rightarrow \text{dt} = 10^{-6} \text{ s}$
- Initial simulation uses η/μ₀ = 2 m²/s, ν = 0.002 m²/s
 P ≡ τ_R/τ_V = 10⁻³ [τ_R ≡ a²/(η/μ₀), τ_V ≡ a²/ν], S ≡ τ_R/τ_A = 3.45×10⁵
 Linear layer width ~ a*S^{-1/3}P^{1/6} = 4.5×10⁻³ m, calculated island half-width ~ 1.05×10⁻³ m



Paradigm Is Taylor's Slab Model Problem: Apply Edge Magnetic Field Perturbation



Boundary Perturbation Is Implemented In Two Edge Grid Points

- Solve vacuum (no plasma, currents) boundary-value problem in *edge region*
 - $\nabla^2 \varphi_1(\mathbf{R}, \phi) = 0$ for perturbed $\mathbf{B}_{\perp,1} = -\nabla \varphi_1$
 - Two outermost grid cells of width Δ • $B_{R,1}(a,\phi) = -iB_{nw}\xi(\phi)$, $B_{R,1}(a-\Delta,\phi) = 0$
 - B_{nw} is the normal component of the magnetic field at the radial boundary

•
$$\varphi_1(R,\phi) = \frac{B_{nw}}{k_{\phi}} \frac{\cosh[k_{\phi}(R-a+\Delta)]}{\sinh(k_{\phi}\Delta)} \left(ie^{ik_{\phi}\phi} - ie^{-ik_{\phi}\phi}\right)$$

- HK δ is consistent with $\delta = B_{nw}/B_{\phi,0}k_{\phi}$
 - Initial linear simulation uses $B_{nw} = 10^{-6} T (\delta \sim 3.2 \times 10^{-6} m)$, which avoids nonlinear forcing



NIMROD Linear Results for Small Perturbation $(B_{nw} = 10^{-6} \text{ T})$ Are Close To HK Predictions

- Clearly observe overshoot in $B_{R,1}(R=0)$ evolution in top plot at $\tau_T = 3.05 \times 10^{-3}$ s
 - $B_{R,1}(R=0,t) \sim t^{1.22} (HK \sim t^{5/4})$ for $t \ll \tau_T$
- For sheet pinch with constant current, HK showed that force balance reduces to vacuum boundary-value problem
 - $\mathbf{B}_{\perp} = \hat{\mathbf{z}} \times \nabla \psi$ and $\mathbf{BC} \psi_1(\mathbf{R}=\mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{B}_{nw}/\mathbf{k}_{\phi}$
 - Solution for system with ψ₁(R=0) ≠ 0
 (i.e. resistive evolution):

$$\psi_1(R,\phi) = \frac{-B_{nw}}{k_{\phi}} \frac{\cosh(k_{\phi}R)}{\cosh(k_{\phi}a)} \left(e^{ik_{\phi}\phi} + e^{-ik_{\phi}\phi}\right)$$

- $B_{R,1}(\phi=0) = -iB_{nw}^*[\cosh(k_{\phi}R)/\cosh(k_{\phi}a)]$
 - Predict Im[$B_{R,1}(R=0)$] = -8.63×10⁻⁸ T, measure -8.85×10⁻⁸ T



Equilibrium Flow Introduces Field Screening Physics

- Flows generate eddy currents that suppress tearing process
- Scaling with magnitude of flow is highly dependent upon layer physic [e.g. Fitzpatrick, POP (1998)]

•
$$B_{norm} = \frac{1}{-\Delta' + \Delta(\omega)} \frac{2k_{\phi}}{\cosh(k_{\phi}a)} B_{nw}$$

B_{norm} is B_{R,1} at rational surface

- Form of $\Delta(\omega)$ depends on relative values of Prandtl number $P = \tau_R / \tau_V$ and normalized "slip frequency" $Q = \tau_A S^{1/3} \omega$, where $\omega = k_\phi v_\phi$
 - P-Q space splits into 4 regimes: Resistive-Inertial (RI),
 Visco-Resistive (VR), Visco-Inertial (VI), and Inertial (I)



VR Regime Asymptotic State Agrees Well With Fitzpatrick Predictions

- With P = 1 and $v_{\phi,0} = 10^3$ m/s : Re(Q) = 0.319 \rightarrow In the VR regime
- VR regime has $\Delta(\omega) = i 2.104 \,\omega \,\tau_{\rm A} \,a^{-1} {\rm S}^{2/3} {\rm P}^{1/6}$
 - $\omega \sim v_{\phi}$ is complex, with imaginary component due to Fourier decomposition
- Theory predicts $Re[B_{R,1}(R=0)] = -1.16 \times 10^{-8} T$ and $Im[B_{R,1}(R=0)] = -1.54 \times 10^{-9} T$
 - Numerically within ~ 25% of predictions
- Increasing $v_{\phi,0}$ parallel to $B_{\phi,0}$ decreases the magnitude of the normal field at the rational surface (*smaller islands*)
 - Also shifts island along direction of flow



Scaling with Flow Follows Fitzpatrick's Results

- Magnitude (top) and phase (bottom) of normal field as equilibrium flow is varied in the VR regime
 - Blue data correspond to analytically predicted values from Fitzpatrick POP (1998)
 - Green data correspond to
 numerically observed values
- NIMROD slightly over predicts the magnitude and under predicts the phase shift as the flow is increased



Larger Amplitude Boundary Perturbation $(B_{nw} = 10^{-4} T)$ Causes Nonlinear Forcing

- Nonlinear simulations keep $n_{\phi} = 0 5$
 - Increased polynomial degree and edge viscosity to improve convergence
 - Turned off density evolution
- Top: $B_{nw} = 10^{-8} T$
 - Resembles linear simulations
 - Early growth scales as $\sim t^{1.15}$ (HK $\sim t^{5/4}$)
- **Bottom:** $B_{nw} = 10^{-4} T$
 - n_{\phi} = 1 mode evolution (blue trace) consistent with boundary-driven Rutherford evolution:
 - Early growth as $\sim t^{0.845}$ (HK $\sim t^{2/3}$)
 - Higher order modes (e.g. $n_{\phi} = 2$ red trace) observed on same scale



Nonlinear Driving Forms Large Island

• For $B_{nw} = 10^{-4} T$ and $v_{\phi,0} = 0$, HK predicts a saturated island half-width of

$$\sqrt{\frac{4aB_{norm}}{B_{\phi,0}k_{\phi}}} = 1.05 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{m}$$

• Appropriate for a viscoresistive linear layer width of $a^*S^{-1/3}P^{1/6} = 4.51 \times 10^{-3} m$



- Observed island is ~ 50% wider than predicted
- Note the different scales of the R, Z axis distort the X-null and island structure appearance

Nonlinear Electromagnetic and Viscous Force Balance Gives Rise to Bifurcation

• Integrating J×B and $\rho v \nabla^2 v$ over ϕ and radially about the rational surface gives n = 0 electromagnetic and viscous forces [e.g. Fitzpatrick NF (1993)]

$$\hat{F}_{\phi,EM} = \frac{2k_{\phi}L_{\phi}}{\mu_0 \cosh^2(k_{\phi}a)} \frac{\operatorname{Im}[\Delta(\omega)]}{(-\Delta')^2 + |\Delta(\omega)|^2} B_{nw}^2$$

$$\hat{F}_{\phi,VS} = -\frac{L_{\phi}^2 \rho \nu}{n\pi a} (\omega_0 - \omega)$$

Force balance gives cubic relation in ω



$$\frac{\omega_0}{\omega} - 1 + \omega_0 \omega \tau_L^{*2} - 2\omega^2 \tau_L^{*2} = \frac{\tau_L}{(-\Delta')\rho\nu} \frac{k_\phi^2}{\mu_0 \cosh^2(k_\phi a)} B_{nw}^2$$

where
$$au_L = 2.104 au_A S^{2/3} P^{1/6}$$
 and $au_L^* = rac{ au_L}{a(-\Delta')}$

• Bifurcation when initial angular frequency exceeds $\omega_{0,crit} =$

Force Balance Bifurcation is Numerically Observed in Asymptotic States

- Analytic, integrated, nonlinear EM (green) and viscous (blue) forces plotted in top figure
 - Both figures shown for $v_{\phi,0} = 10^3 \text{ m/s} > v_{0,crit} = 6.92 \times 10^2 \text{ m/s}$
 - Two stable (and one metastable) solutions exist, high and low slip
- Bottom figure shows cubic force balance equation in blue overlaid with asymptotic NIMROD results in red
 - Simulations clearly show bifurcation



High/Low Slip Solutions Have Reduced/Typical Islands

- High slip solution (top plot) has $B_{nw} = 10^{-4} T$ and low slip solution (bottom plot) has $B_{nw} = 2 \times 10^{-4} T$
 - Predicted island half-widths given by $\sqrt{\frac{4aB_{norm}}{k_{\phi}B_{\phi,0}}}$
 - Takes flow screening into account
 - Predict 4.54×10^{-3} m and 1.44×10^{-2} m, respectively
 - Measured island half-widths of 6.17×10^{-3} m and 2.07×10^{-2} m
- Also observe flow shifting of island



FMR Simulations are Underway in the Cylindrical Geometry

- Specify q-profile according to Furth, Rutherford, and Selberg (1973) form
 - $q(r) = q_0 \left[1 + \left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)^{2\lambda} \right]^{1/\lambda}$
 - $q_0 = 2.02$, $r_0 = 0.65$, $\lambda = 2$
 - $r(q=3) = 0.681 \text{ m}, \rho(q=3) = 0.796$
- P = 1 and similar S to slab case
- Follow same procedure as in slab geometry to prescribe edge boundary perturbation
 - Initialize (m,n) = (-3,1) perturbation in linear n=1 simulations



Resonant Perturbation Field in Cylinder Evolves Similar to HK Case

- $B_{nw} = 10^{-6}$ T edge perturbation triggers FMR when resonant with q = 3 surface (blue trace)
 - Plotting field at the rational surface on the outboard midplane
 - Cross-helicity case with m = 3(green trace) diffuses inward on longer timescale
- Resonant field is amplified at rational surface with zero equilibrium flow

•
$$B_{norm} = \frac{2m}{-\Delta' + \Delta(\omega)} B_r$$





Flow Screening Qualitatively Agrees with Fitzpatrick Predictions

- With $v_{\phi,0} = 10^3$ m/s, observe Re[B_r(θ =0)] is decreased (green trace) and Im[B_r(θ =0)] is now nonzero (red trace)
 - Flow screens and shifts B_r
- · As in slab, we are in VR regime
 - Theory predicts $Re[B_r(\theta=0)] = 1.03 \times 10^{-6} T \text{ and } Im[B_r(\theta=0)] = -1.53 \times 10^{-6} T$
 - Note figure has ABS[Im(B_r)]
 - Hypothesize discrepancy due to value of Δ' used in calculations



Future Direction Of This Research

- Trigger bifurcation in slab by increasing edge field magnitude slowly compared to system evolution
 - Observe hysteresis by slowly decreasing edge field
- · Linear and nonlinear flow-scaling in a cylinder
 - Torque balance bifurcation
- Linear and nonlinear flow-scaling in a torus with the addition of two-fluid effects
- Benchmarks between NIMROD and M3D-C¹

Conclusions

- Verified Hahm and Kulsrud analytical model of linear and nonlinear evolution of Taylor's problem by applying spatially-varying, boundary-normal magnetic field in NIMROD simulations
- Verified flow-screening effects consistent with Fitzpatrick model for linear slab, notably in the visco-resistive regime typical for tokamak H-mode pedestals
- Verified nonlinear force balance bifurcation consistent with Fitzpatrick model, that gave rise to high and low slip solutions
- Preliminary numerical study of FMR in cylindrical geometry is underway

Hahm & Kulsrud (HK) Slab Model Provides Paradigm Problem

- Taylor's problem: resistive sheet pinch with $\mathbf{B} = B_T \hat{\mathbf{z}} + (B_0/a) x \hat{\mathbf{y}}$
 - Boundaries perturbed by $x = \pm [a \delta \cos(k_y y)]$
- Tearing creates magnetic islands $[\psi(x=0) \neq 0]$ with finite resistivity
 - For resistive phase $t \ll \tau_{Res} \equiv \tau_A S^{1/3}$, $\psi(x=0,t) \sim t^2$
 - For tearing phase $t \sim \tau_T \equiv \tau_A S^{3/5}$, $\psi(x=0,t) \sim t^{5/4}$ for $t \ll \tau_T$ and $\psi(x=0,t) \sim t^{-5/4}$ for $t \gg \tau_T$
- Nonlinear driving when $\delta/a \ge S^{-4/5}$
 - For $t \ll \tau_{NL} \sim (\delta/a)^{1/2} \tau_{R}$, $\psi(x=0,t) \sim t^{2/3}$
 - For t $\gg \tau_{\rm NL}$, $\psi(x=0,t) \sim \tanh^2(t/\tau_{\rm NL})$



Time Asymptotic State Of Linear Results Agree with HK

- For sheet pinch with constant current in the symmetry direction, HK showed that force balance reduces to vacuum boundary-value problem
 - $\mathbf{B}_{\perp} = \hat{\mathbf{z}} \times \nabla \psi$ and BC $\psi_1(\mathbf{R}=\mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{B}_{nw}/\mathbf{k}_{\phi}$
 - Solution for system with $\psi_1(R=0) \neq 0$ (i.e. resistive evolution): $\psi_1(R,\phi) = \frac{-B_{nw}}{k_{\phi}} \frac{\cosh(k_{\phi}R)}{\cosh(k_{\phi}a)} \left(e^{ik_{\phi}\phi} + e^{-ik_{\phi}\phi}\right)$
- $B_{R,1}(\phi=0) = -iB_{nw}^*[\cosh(k_{\phi}R)/\cosh(k_{\phi}a)]$
 - Re[B_{R,1}] ~ 0
- $B_{\phi,1}(\phi=0) = B_{nw}^*[\sinh(k_{\phi}R)/\cosh(k_{\phi}a)]$





Parametric Results Agree with HK

- Varying Lundquist number gives outstanding agreement with analytical predictions
 - Time at maximum amplitude of $B_{R,1}$ (blue data) coincides with τ_T (green data)
 - Traces lie on each other
 - Varying B_{nw} has no effect on time of maximum amplitude of B_{R,1}
- Asymptotic value of B_{R,1}(R=0) is independent of S as expected
 - Green data are HK predictions, blue data from NIMROD simulations



Alfvén Resonances Emerge for Increased Flows

- With v_{φ,0} = 10⁴, Re(Q) ~ 3.19 and we are in the I regime (shown in the top figure)
 - Compared to the case with $v_{\phi,0} = 10^3$ (bottom figure), there are now two negative peaks in Re($v_{\phi,1}$)
- Due to an Alfvén Resonance
 - Where $(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v})^2 = (\mathbf{k}_{\phi} \mathbf{v}_{A,\phi})^2$



Inertial Regime Has a Different Asymptotic State From the VR Regime

- Alfvén resonances creates smaller scale structure within the layer
- While top plot shows Re[B_{R,1}(R=0)] ≠ 0, as v_{φ,0} is increased the normal field at the rational surface asymptotes to zero
 - Bottom plot shows that the imaginary component of the normal field changes sign at the rational surface
- Essentially no tearing (reconnection) in this regime

