# Cross-Scale Coupling in Large-Systems

### William Daughton

Opportunities in Plasma Astrophysics Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory Jan 18, 2010



# Much progress has been in understanding reconnection in systems of modest scale



$$\delta_{sp} > d_i \rightarrow \text{Collisional Regime} \quad \frac{\mathbf{U}_{in}}{V_A} = \frac{\delta_{sp}}{L_{sp}} = \frac{1}{S^{1/2}}$$

$$\delta_{sp} \leq d_i \rightarrow \text{Kinetic Regime} \quad \frac{\mathbf{U}_{in}}{V_A} \sim 0.1$$

Many uncertainties remain to extrapolate to large systems

# Huge Separation of Scales in Astrophysical Plasmas

	Lundquist - S	$L/d_i$
Earth's magnetosphere	$\infty$	$\sim 10^3$
Solar Corona & Stellar Flares	$\sim 10^{14}$	$\sim 10^8$
accretion disks, magnetars	$\sim 10^{18}$	$\sim 10^{10}$
Computer Simulations	$< 10^{5}$	< 400
Laboratory Experiments	< 1000	$\sim 10$

Real challenge to extrapolate physics Do collisional or kinetic mechanisms dominate?

### One Key Issue to "Scale-Up" the Dynamics

In both collisional and kinetic regimes, the non-linear evolution of reconnection produces elongated current layers, which are unstable to secondary magnetic islands (plasmoids)



## Many new efforts exploring these ideas



FIG. 2 (color online). Current density for  $S = 10^4$ ,  $S = 10^5$ ,  $S = 10^6$  and  $S = 10^7$ .  $S = 10^8$  is shown in Fig. 1.

# Why does this matter ?

### **Collisional Regimes:**

- The number of islands and growth rate increase with S
- May permit faster reconnection than Sweet-Parker
- Can also push evolution towards kinetic scales

### Kinetic Regimes:

- Do islands influence scaling of reconnection rate ?
- Could also be important in the energy partition and particle acceleration process ?

## In real 3D world, magnetic islands are flux ropes



#### Collisionless 2D neutral sheet



#### Collisionless 3D neutral sheet

# Island formation can be complicated in 3D

Drift Tearing - Coppi et al, 1979, Catto et al, 1974, Gladd, 1990, Daughton et al, 2005

Percolation - Galeev, Kuznetsova, Zeleny, 1986

Volume filling islands - Drake et al, Nature, 2006



Galeev et al, 1986

Drake et al, 2006

### 2D vs 3D Dynamics is Quite Different



2D  $\sim 10^6$  cells



# 3D cut $\sim 10^9 \text{ cells}$

# Primary & secondary islands form a spectrum interacting oblique flux ropes



# Under certain conditions, theory & simulations suggest a spectrum of oblique flux ropes



Flux ropes may interact differently than islands in 2D models

# Variety of waves and instabilities may also influence reconnection process

- Pre-existing turbulence (MHD or kinetic)
- Buneman streaming instabilities
- Solution Section Contemporal C
- Lower-hybrid waves
- Temperature anisotropy modes
- Velocity shear instabilities
- Solution Structures phase space holes
  Can these produce anomalous dissipation, or influence larger scale evolution ?

# Some of these instabilities are being examined with laboratory experiments & simulations



- Extrapolate experimentally validated results to other regimes
- Need for new experiments to address issue of secondary islands

Progress on these issues will benefit from increased interactions between:

- Satellite observations magnetosphere & solar wind
- Solar observations
- Laboratory experiments
- Theory & simulations both fluid and kinetic

Increased understanding will allow more realistic application of magnetic reconnection to astrophysical problems.