

Coupling of alpha channeling to parallel wavenumber upshift in lower hybrid current drive

I. E. Ochs,¹ N. Bertelli,² and N. J. Fisch^{2,3}

¹Department of Physics, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138, USA
²Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton, New Jersey 08543, USA
³Department of Astrophysical Sciences, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08540, USA

(Received 9 June 2015; accepted 6 August 2015; published online 21 August 2015)

Although lower hybrid (LH) waves have been shown to be effective in driving plasma current in present-day tokamaks, they are predicted to strongly interact with the energetic α particles born from fusion reactions in eventual tokamak reactors. However, in the presence of the expected steep α particle birth gradient, this interaction can produce wave amplification rather than wave damping. Here, we identify the flexibilities and constraints in achieving this amplification effect through a consideration of symmetries in the channeling interaction, in the wave propagation, and in the tokamak field configuration. Interestingly, for standard LH current drive that supports the poloidal magnetic field, we find that wave amplification through α channeling is fundamentally coupled to the poorly understood $|k_{\parallel}|$ upshift. In so doing, we show that wave launch from the tokamak high-field side is favorable both for α -channeling and for achieving the $|k_{\parallel}|$ upshift. © 2015 AIP Publishing LLC. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4928903]

I. INTRODUCTION AND MOTIVATION

Lower hybrid (LH) waves are predicted to be effective in driving substantial plasma current in tokamaks,¹ an effect that has enjoyed extensive demonstration in tokamak experiments.² Yet there remains a concern that, in extrapolating to a fusion reactor, high-energy α particles born in the plasma core could strongly damp the LH wave, thus significantly reducing current drive efficiency.^{3–5} Fortunately, by coupling diffusion in energy to diffusion in space (known as *alpha channeling*), a favorable population inversion may appear along the diffusion path, causing the α particles to amplify rather than damp the wave.⁶

Recently, launching the LH wave from the tokamak high-field side ("inside launch") was proposed to enable the LH wave to more deeply penetrate the plasma core, with the waveguide better protected from plasma-material interactions.^{7,8} Since in a reactor, α particles would be abundant close to the plasma center, the question arises whether interactions of deeply penetrating waves with α particles can be made favorable, while preserving high current drive efficiency. Despite many ray-tracing studies of LH waves to optimize the current drive effect,^{9–21} no study has optimized jointly for LH current drive and α -channeling.

It turns out that symmetries in the LH dispersion relation constrain the possibilities in achieving this joint optimization. The channeling effect depends on the sign of poloidal wavenumber k_{θ} ,⁶ a dependency exploited in ion Bernstein waves,²² where particularly large wavenumbers could be arranged as a result of mode conversion.²³ For the LH wave, k_{θ} similarly determines the channeling condition. However, it is the LH toroidal wavenumber k_{ϕ} that determines the current drive direction through the wave interaction with electrons. Thus, joint optimization of the current drive and α -channeling effects requires understanding the joint evolution of k_{θ} and k_{ϕ} , which are determined by the launch geometry.²⁴ Through consideration of these symmetries, we find in the analysis presented below that optimization is further constrained by an inescapable coupling of α -channeling to the so-called " $|k_{\parallel}|$ upshift," where k_{\parallel} is the wavenumber parallel to the magnetic field. This increase in k_{\parallel} along the ray trajectory decreases the resonant thermal velocity of the ray, and thus is thought to resolve the so-called "spectral gap" puzzle in LH wave interactions,²⁵ wherein injected LH waves interact with the plasma despite being injected with a superresonant parallel phase velocity. While well documented experimentally,^{26–28} this upshift continues to elude definitive explanation.

By considering a tokamak geometry with circular and concentric flux surfaces, we thus derive fundamental symmetries that constrain the joint optimization of current drive and α -channeling. Interestingly, we find that an upshift must occur for LH waves that both support the channeling effect and drive current supportive of the poloidal magnetic field, which occurs during inside launch.

II. CHANNELING DIRECTION

To see how channeling is constrained under LH wave propagation, consider that α particles gain energy from the interaction move in the direction of $\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{B}$, while those that lose energy move in the direction of $-\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{B}$ (Fig. 1(a)). Since the interaction tends to be diffusive, on whether the α particles on average gain or lose energy from the wave depends on the distribution of α particles along the diffusion path. For interactions with an electrostatic wave in a magneticles would tend to gain energy, because the projection of the distribution function on any one direction would be monotonically decreasing in energy. However, in the presence of a radial α -particle gradient, the coupling with spatial diffusion means that α particles can be diffused on average



FIG. 1. (a) Schematic of the channeling effect, showing the coupling between the spatial displacement Δx and energetic displacement $\Delta \epsilon$ due to interaction with the LH wave.⁶ When wave energy is channeled into the α particles, they move in the direction of $\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{B}$. (b) In a tokamak magnetic field configuration, **B** (blue) is approximately aligned with the toroidal tangent vector $\hat{\phi}$, and so a radially inward pointing $\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{B}$ (green) requires positive k_{θ} (red). In this case, $\xi \approx -k_{\theta}/k_{\perp}$.

from high energy at the high-density plasma core to low energy at the plasma periphery, thus transferring energy out of the α particles and into the wave. Because this requires particles that transfer energy *to* the wave to move *outward*, particles that receive energy from the wave must be pushed toward the plasma core, and thus $\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{B}$ must point inward at each flux surface.

Consider therefore a tokamak with concentric, circular magnetic surfaces (Fig. 1(b)), so that the flux surface normal vector is given by the minor radius vector $\hat{\mathbf{r}}$. Of interest then is the sign and magnitude of

$$\xi \equiv \frac{\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{B}}{|\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{B}|} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}.$$
 (1)

The magnitude of ξ represents the extent of the push received by the α particles that occur in the radial direction, while the sign represents the direction of channeling: when ξ is negative, particles that gain energy will be pushed to the plasma center. Thus, ξ must be negative to reduce or reverse the damping.

In a tokamak, the magnitude of the toroidal magnetic field generally greatly exceeds that of the poloidal field, i.e., $|B_{\phi}| \gg |B_{\theta}|$. For lower hybrid waves, we also generally have $k_{\perp} \gg k_{\parallel}$. It then follows that:

$$\xi \approx -\frac{k_{\theta}}{|k_{\perp}|},\tag{2}$$

where $|k_{\perp}| \approx \sqrt{k_{\theta}^2 + k_r^2}$. Thus, for $B_{\phi} > 0$ (as in Fig. 1(b)) proper channeling requires k_{θ} to be positive, and in general we must have $B_{\phi}k_{\theta} > 0$.

For the LH wave, the sign of k_{θ} turns out to be intimately connected with the poloidal position of the ray: specifically, k_{θ} tends to decrease along the ray above the poloidal equator ($0 < \theta < \pi$), and increase below it. This effect is *independent of both the direction of current drive* and the direction of the poloidal and toroidal magnetic fields. To see this independence, consider a simple, wellknown electrostatic model of dispersion for $\Omega_i^2 \ll \omega^2 \ll \Omega_e^2$ in a tokamak of major radius R_0^{24}

$$D_0 \approx (c^2/\omega^2)(k_{\perp}^2 - (\omega_{pe}/\omega)^2 k_{\parallel}^2),$$
 (3)

$$B_{\phi} = B_{\phi 0} / [1 + (r/R_0) \cos \theta]. \tag{4}$$

Although this model is strictly valid only near $k_{\theta} = 0$, simulations show that the symmetries uncovered here also hold for the full electromagnetic cold-plasma dispersion relation. For tokamaks, $B_{\phi} \gg B_{\theta}$ and $\omega_{pe} \gg \omega$, so that the initial evolution of k_{θ} (when $k_{\theta} \simeq 0$) is determined by

$$\frac{dk_{\theta}}{dt} = \frac{\partial D_0 / \partial \theta}{\partial D_0 / \partial \omega}$$
$$\approx -\left(\frac{B_{\theta}^2}{B_{\phi 0}^2}\right) \left(\frac{\omega^3 (R_0 + r\cos\theta)}{2\omega_{pe}^2 R_0^2}\right) \sin\theta \tag{5}$$

and

$$\frac{d\theta}{dt} = -\frac{\partial D_0/\partial m}{\partial D_0/\partial \omega} \approx \left(\frac{B_\theta}{B_{\phi 0}k_{\phi}}\right) \left(\frac{\omega(R_0 + r\cos\theta)}{2rR_0}\right).$$
(6)

For current drive supporting the poloidal magnetic field $(k_{\phi}B_{\theta} > 0)$ and for proper channeling $(k_{\theta}B_{\phi} > 0)$, it follows that $B_{\phi} > 0$ requires $dk_{\theta}/dt > 0$. Since $dk_{\theta}/dt \propto -\sin\theta$, k_{θ} will increase along the ray when $180^{\circ} < \theta < 360^{\circ}$, and thus the majority of the ray trajectory must occur *below the poloi-dal equator* to ensure proper channeling in a circular tokamak.

The key point here is that the k_{θ} near the plasma periphery cannot be too large. It is basically on the order of the parallel wavenumber, as both will be dictated by the physical dimensions of the launching structure. However, for lower hybrid waves, the full perpendicular wavenumber is on the order of the $\sqrt{m_i/m_e} \sim 50$ greater than the parallel wavenumber. Furthermore, although the radial wavenumber will dominate at the plasma periphery, near the plasma center the perpendicular wavenumber will point essentially in the azimuthal direction. Hence, the magnitude of k_{θ} grows from on the order of k_{\parallel} at the periphery to substantively higher values. Because the initial value of k_{θ} is negligible compared to the final value, it follows that it is the sign of dk_{θ}/dt rather than the initial condition on k_{θ} that plays the critical role. Hence, several symmetries of the channeling effect may be derived from Eqs. (5) and (6). These symmetries are also confirmed by considering the full geometrical optics ray equations as done in Fig. 2.

III. B_{θ} REVERSAL AND k_{\parallel} UPSHIFT

For current drive supportive of the poloidal magnetic field $(k_{\phi}B_{\theta} > 0)$, the sign of B_{θ} turns out to have no impact on the poloidal trajectory or the evolution of k_{θ} . This follows directly from the fact that Eqs. (5) and (6) depend only on B_{θ}^2 and B_{θ}/k_{ϕ} . Thus, α -channeling is unaffected under coupled reversal of k_{ϕ} and B_{θ} .

 B_{ϕ} reversal: Under B_{ϕ} reversal, proper channeling requires k_{θ} reversal as well. Since Eq. (5) depends only on $B_{\phi0}^2$, it follows that $\sin \theta > 0$, corresponding to optimized launch from above the poloidal equator. Interestingly, this sign reversal in dk_{θ}/dt ensures that, all other quantities equal, a launch with (k_{θ},θ) when $B_{\phi} > 0$ will have a perfectly antisymmetric poloidal trajectory and k_{θ} evolution to the



FIG. 2. Ray propagation symmetries with respect to B_{ϕ} reversal in circular tokamak of minor radius a = 17 cm. Core electron density and temperature are 5×10^{13} cm⁻³ and 5 keV, respectively, declining parabolically to 1×10^{13} cm⁻³ and 500 eV at the plasma periphery. Wave parameters were $n_{\phi} = -2.7$ and f = 4.5 GHz. Ray trajectories were simulated using geometrical optics code GENRAY,²⁹ employing the electromagnetic cold-plasma dispersion relation (in contrast to the simplified electrostatic model in Eq. (3)). Solid lines: $B_{\phi} > 0$; dashed lines: $B_{\phi} < 0$. The poloidal trajectories are shown in (a). Reversing B_{ϕ} and θ results in antisymmetric evolution in θ and k_{θ} as a function of poloidal distance *s* in meters ((a) and (b)). However, the reversals have no effect on ξ or the $|k_{\parallel}|$ upshift, which are clearly coupled ((c) and (d)).

launch with $(-k_{\theta}, -\theta)$ when $B_{\phi} < 0$, since Eq. (6) also changes sign with B_{ϕ} (Figs. 2(a) and 2(b)).

Consider now that the $|k_{\parallel}|$ shift is determined by the magnitude and sign of $(k_{\theta} \cdot B_{\theta})(k_{\phi} \cdot B_{\phi}) = (k_{\theta}B_{\phi})(k_{\phi}B_{\theta})$. Since for supportive current drive $k_{\phi}B_{\theta} > 0$ and for proper channeling $k_{\theta}B_{\phi} > 0$, launching to ensure proper channeling gives $\Delta |k_{\parallel}| > 0$ in the region of strong channeling regardless of the magnetic field geometry (Fig. 2(d)).

These constraints apply only for supportive current drive. However, for current drive that opposes B_{θ} $(k_{\phi}B_{\theta} < 0)$, for example, for current profile control, Eqs. (5) and (6) then show that although the sign of dk_{θ}/dt stays the same, the sign of $d\theta/dt$ is reversed, breaking the antisymmetric evolution observed under B_{ϕ} reversal. Interestingly, the trajectory resulting from reversed current drive for proper channeling is symmetric with respect to the trajectory resulting from supportive current drive for improper channeling $(k_{\theta}B_{\phi} < 0)$. Thus, since k_{ϕ} reverses sign while all other quantities remain the same, reversed current drive results inevitably in a $|k_{\parallel}|$ downshift in the region of strong channeling.

These symmetries and their associated constraints, imposed through the fundamental coupling in LH current drive of α channeling to the $|k_{\parallel}|$ -upshift, are our key results. Although derived strictly for concentric circular flux surfaces, they are valid in a more general magnetic geometry in the regime of interest, namely, where the LH waves penetrate deeply enough to encounter α particles at the locally circular plasma center.

IV. DISCUSSION

When $B_{\phi} > 0$ and k_{ϕ} supports the plasma current, a ray trajectory that spends most of its approach to the region of high α -particle density below the poloidal equator is optimal for wave amplification, since its poloidal wavenumber becomes both large (on the order of k_{\perp}) and of the right sign. When the tokamak is large enough that the ray sweeps a significant range of θ , this generally occurs for wave launch from the high-field side. Thus, we can see that LH waves launched from the tokamak's high-field side, which are already predicted to be advantageous from an engineering standpoint,^{7,8} can also avoid α -particle damping or even experience amplification as they penetrate near the plasma center. For concentric circular flux surfaces, we derived that the wave amplification conditions also necessarily result in a $|k_{\parallel}|$ upshift in the region of strong damping for waves used to support the plasma current, and a $|k_{\parallel}|$ downshift for those used to counteract the plasma current. For the general tokamak, this property will apply near the magnetic axis, where the geometry is effectively circular and where the key interactions take place.

Here, we have focused on amplification of the wave energy used for current drive. After all, it was the damping of the lower hybrid wave on electrons in a homogeneous plasma³ that instigated the search for the channeling effect in the first place. However, it should be noted that channeling leads to other potential benefits. For example, the same waves that diffuse α particles from high energy at the center to low energy at the periphery also have the potential to diffuse cold fuel ions from the periphery (where they are dense) to hot in the center (where the density of very hot fuel ions is small). When damping on these cold ions dominates, the lower hybrid wave would transfer energy from outwardmoving α particles to inward-moving fuel ions. Such an effect could lead to a hot ion mode, which is significantly advantageous for fusion.³⁰

Of course, both the current drive and ion heating effects could be present simultaneously. After all, waves that channel energy out of the α particles while ejecting them will have the right sign of poloidal mode number to heat fuel ions while pinching them to the center. Thus, the potential benefit of channeling energy from α particles is large.

Given the upside potential offered by α channeling, it is important to test whether the lower hybrid wave can stably absorb energy from the α particles. The easiest differential test would be to leave all other parameters equal, but just to reverse k_{θ} , with one sign resulting in damping and the other in amplification. Unfortunately, as derived here, the strict coupling of k_{θ} to the $|k_{\parallel}|$ upshift renders this experiment impossible, since the upshift itself dramatically impacts the driven current in several ways. Most directly, it reduces the electron-resonant parallel velocity, decreasing current drive efficiency. Less directly, it also could cause the damping of the wave to take place in an entirely different location of the plasma, possibly near the periphery at lower density, which might then cause the current drive efficiency to increase. Either way, it makes it impossible to perform a differential test simply by reversing k_{θ} .

In light of this coupling, the best differential test might instead be to arrange for wave conditions suitable for the channeling effect, both in the presence and absence of an energetic (MeV) beam of ions (such as might occur under minority ion cyclotron heating). Suppose that the energetic ions are arranged to be mainly in the central region of the plasma, with sharp spatial gradients. The presence of the ions should then amplify the lower hybrid wave for one sign of k_{θ} , and damp it for the opposite sign, leading to a detectable change in the driven current. Similarly, with k_{ϕ} reversed, the presence of the ions could increase or decrease the counter-directed current, which would be measurable as well. Thus, a multiplicity of comparisons could indicate the effect.

Note that a similar differential test using neutral beams was performed successfully to test channeling effects predicted for the ion Bernstein wave.^{31,32} However, this test employed relatively low-energy neutral beams, so it was not possible to launch waves that would retrieve the ion energy. Instead, waves were launched that would heat the ions along predicted diffusion paths, with the main measured effect being the movement of the ions along these paths. In the case of lower hybrid waves, the diffusion paths may not extend all the way to the periphery, necessitating a measurement based on internal effects such as current drive.

V. CONCLUSION

Here, we have shown that achieving favorable α channeling while driving plasma current supportive of the poloidal magnetic field necessitates two main effects. First, it imposes a fundamental preference for high-field-side LH launch, adding to the potential advantages of this newly proposed launcher configuration. Second, it leads necessarily to a $|k_{\parallel}|$ upshift, constraining the possible experimental tests of the channeling effect. The identification of these strong constraints was derived theoretically and simulated for a circular cross-section tokamak. Nevertheless, it remains to explore in greater detail the joint optimization of current drive and α channeling in specific tokamak geometries.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank P. Bonoli for the useful discussions. This work was performed under U.S. DOE Contract No. DE-AC02-09CH11466. I.E.O. thanks the support of the National Undergraduate Fellowship Program in Plasma Physics and Fusion Energy Sciences.

- ¹N. J. Fisch, "Confining a tokamak plasma with rf-driven currents," Phys. Rev. Lett. **41**, 873 (1978).
- ²N. J. Fisch, "Theory of current drive in plasmas," Rev. Mod. Phys. **59**, 175 (1987).
- ³K. L. Wong and M. Ono, "Effects of ion-cyclotron harmonic damping on current drive in the lower hybrid frequency-range," Nucl. Fusion **24**, 615 (1984).
- ⁴N. J. Fisch and J. M. Rax, "Current drive by lower hybrid waves in the presence of energetic alpha-particles," Nucl. Fusion **32**, 549 (1992).
- ⁵J. Wang, X. Zhang, L. Yu, and X. Zhao, "Influence of plasma parameters on the absorption coefficient of alpha particles to lower hybrid waves in tokamaks," Plasma Phys. Rep. 40, 932–938 (2014).

⁶N. J. Fisch and J.-M. Rax, "Interaction of energetic alpha particles with intense lower hybrid waves," Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 612 (1992).

- ⁷Y. A. Podpaly, G. M. Olynyk, M. L. Garrett, P. T. Bonoli, and D. G. Whyte, "The lower hybrid current drive system for steady-state operation of the Vulcan tokamak conceptual design," Fusion Eng. Des. **87**, 215–223 (2012).
- ⁸B. Sorbom, J. Ball, T. Palmer, F. Mangiarotti, J. Sierchio, P. Bonoli, C. Kasten, D. Sutherland, H. Barnard, C. Haakonsen, J. Goh, C. Sung, and D. Whyte, "Arc: A compact, high-field, disassemblable, fusion nuclear science facility and demonstration power plant," Fusion Eng. Des. (in press).
- ⁹F. Imbeaux and Y. Peysson, "Ray-tracing and Fokker-Planck modelling of the effect of plasma current on the propagation and absorption of lower hybrid waves," Plasma Phys. Controlled Fusion **47**, 2041 (2005).
- ¹⁰J. Decker, Y. Peysson, J. Hillairet, J. F. Artaud, V. Basiuk, A. Becoulet, A. Ekedahl, M. Goniche, G. T. Hoang, F. Imbeaux, A. K. Ram, and M. Schneider, "Calculations of lower hybrid current drive in ITER," Nucl. Fusion **51**, 073025 (2011).
- ¹¹Y. Peysson and J. Decker, "Numerical simulations of the radio-frequencydriven toroidal current in tokamaks," Fusion Sci. Technol. 65, 22 (2014).
- ¹²S. Ceccuzzi, E. Barbato, A. Cardinali, C. Castaldo, R. Cesario, M. Marinucci, F. Mirizzi, L. Panaccione, G. L. Ravera, F. Santini, G. Schettini, and A. A. Tuccillo, "Lower hybrid current drive for DEMO: Physics assessment and technology maturity," Fusion Sci. Technol. **64**, 748 (2013).
- ¹³W. Horton, M. Goniche, Y. Peysson, J. Decker, A. Ekedahl, and X. Litaudon, "Penetration of lower hybrid current drive waves in tokamaks," Phys. Plasmas 20, 112508 (2013).
- ¹⁴J. Hillairet, D. Voyer, A. Ekedahl *et al.*, "Comparative modelling of lower hybrid current drive with two launcher designs in the Tore Supra tokamak," Nucl. Fusion **50**, 083018 (2010).
- ¹⁵E. Nilsson, J. Decker, Y. Peysson, J. F. Artaud, A. Ekedahl, J. Hillairet, T. Aniel, V. Basiuk, M. Goniche, F. Imbeaux, D. Mazon, and P. Sharma, "Comparative modelling of lower hybrid current drive with two launcher designs in the Tore Supra tokamak," Nucl. Fusion **53**, 083018 (2013).
- ¹⁶S. Xingjian, H. Yemin, and G. Zhe, "Optimization of lower hybrid current drive efficiency for EAST plasma with non-circular cross section and finite aspect-ratio," Plasma Sci. Technol. 14, 215 (2012).
- ¹⁷M. Schneider, L.-G. Eriksson, F. Imbeaux, and J. Artaud, "Self-consistent simulations of the interaction between fusion-born alpha particles and lower hybrid waves in ITER," Nucl. Fusion 49, 125005 (2009).
- ¹⁸M. Spada, M. Bornatici, and F. Engelmann, "Absorption of lower hybrid slow waves by fusion alpha particles," Nucl. Fusion **31**, 447 (1991).
- ¹⁹E. Barbato and F. Santini, "Quasi-linear absorption of lower hybrid waves by fusion generated alpha particles," Nucl. Fusion **31**, 673 (1991).
- ²⁰E. Barbato and A. Saveliev, "Absorption of lower hybrid wave power by α -particles in ITER-FEAT scenarios," Plasma Phys. Controlled Fusion **46**, 1283 (2004).
- ²¹P. Bonoli and M. Porkolab, "Radiofrequency current generation by lower hybrid slow waves in the presence of fusion generated alpha particles in the reactor regime," Nucl. fusion 27, 1341 (1987).
- ²²N. J. Fisch, "Alpha power channeling using ion-Bernstein waves," Phys. Plasmas 2, 2375 (1995).
- ²³E. J. Valeo and N. J. Fisch, "Excitation of large-k θ ion-Bernstein waves in tokamaks," Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 3536 (1994).
- ²⁴P. T. Bonoli and E. Ott, "Toroidal and scattering effects on lower-hybrid wave propagation," Phys. Fluids (1958–1988) 25, 359–375 (1982).
- ²⁵P. T. Bonoli and R. C. Englade, "Simulation model for lower hybrid current drive," Phys. Fluids **29**, 2937 (1986).
- ²⁶S. Bernabei *et al.*, "Lower-hybrid current drive in the PLT tokamak," Phys. Rev. Lett. **49**, 1255 (1982).
- ²⁷M. Porkolab, J. J. Schuss, B. Lloyd, Y. Takase, S. Texter, P. Bonoli, C. Fiore, R. Gandy, D. Gwinn, B. Lipschultz *et al.*, "Observation of lower-hybrid current drive at high densities in the Alcator C tokamak," Phys. Rev. Lett. **53**, 450 (1984).
- ²⁸C. F. F. Karney, N. J. Fisch, and F. C. Jobes, "Comparison of the theory and the practice of lower-hybrid current drive," Phys. Rev. A 32, 2554 (1985).
- ²⁹A. Smirnov and R. Harvey, "The GENRAY ray tracing code," CompX Report No. CompX-2000-01, 2001.
- ³⁰N. J. Fisch and M. C. Herrmann, "Utility of extracting power from alpha particles by waves," Nucl. Fusion 34, 1541 (1994).
- ³¹N. J. Fisch, M. C. Herrmann, D. S. Darrow, H. P. Furth, R. F. Heeter, H. W. Herrmann, J. C. Hosea, R. Majeski, C. K. Phillips, J. H. Rogers, G. Schilling, and S. J. Zweben, "Prospects for alpha channeling: Initial results from TFTR," Plasma Physics and Controlled Nuclear Fusion Research 1, 271–279 (1997).
- ³²D. S. Clark and N. J. Fisch, "The possibility of high amplitude driven contained modes during ion Bernstein wave experiments in the tokamak fusion test reactor," Phys. Plasmas 7, 2923 (2000).