Quiet Periods in Edge Turbulence Preceding the L-H Transition in NSTX

S.J. Zweben¹, R.J. Maqueda¹, R. Hager², K. Hallatschek², S. Kaye¹, T. Munsat³, F.M. Poli⁴, L. Roquemore¹, Y. Sechrest³, D.P. Stotler¹

¹ Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton, NJ 08540
²Max-Planck-Institute for Plasma Physics, Garching, Germany
³Univ. Colorado, Boulder CO 80309
⁴University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL, UK

What triggers the L-H transition in NSTX ?

also thanks to: R. Bell, C.S. Chang, E.D. Fredrickson, T.S. Hahm, S. Kubota, B. LeBlanc, K.C. Lee, D.A. Russell, S.A. Sabbagh, and K. Tritz

TTF meeting 4/10

Gas Puff Imaging Diagnostic on NSTX

- Views D_{α} light along B to get 2D radial vs. poloidal view
- Turbulence structure and motion derived using $D_{\alpha}(n,T_e)$





GPI Images Across L-H Transition







Quiet Periods Preceding Transition

Sometimes GPI images in L-mode look like H-mode !



4 🖉

Define "Scrape-off Layer Fraction"

- F_{sol} = fraction of GPI D_{α} light located outside separatrix
- Measures "H-mode-ness", $F_{sol} \le 0.15$ seen in H-mode
- F_{sol} determined by shape of n, T_e profiles near separatrix



Frequency and Extent of Quiet Periods

- F_{sol} frequency spectrum has a broad peak at f ~ 3±1 kHz
- Quiet periods extend ≥30 msec before L-H transition



Quiet Periods vs. Poloidal Flow

- Poloidal flow V_{pol} measure from GPI turbulence motion
- F_{sol} and $V_{pol} \sim 50\%$ correlated within ±3 cm of separatrix



Geodesic Acoustic Mode (GAM) Analysis

R. Hager, K. Hallatschek, IPP Garching

- GAM expected roughly at f(Hz)= $(1/\pi R) [\gamma(T_i+T_e)/m_i]^{1/2} G$
- linear simulations show three GAM candidates for NSTX #135042
- nonlinear simulations show low frequency mode (red) excited at 3 kHz for T_i+T_e ~ 40 eV



TTF meeting 4/10

Edge Zonal Flow Analysis

D.A. Russell, Lodestar

 SOLT 2-D simulation of NSTX shows 'bursty' behavior in SOL quasi-periodic V_{pol} modulation at ~ 4 KHz (D.A. Russell et al Phys. Plasmas 16, 122304 (2009)



 Zonal flow also expected at f ~ v_{ii}(R/a) ~ 3 kHz (Hahm) (assuming n=10¹³ cm⁻³, T_i=50 eV, μ=2)

Estimate of Shear Flow from GPI

- Dimensionless shear: $S = (dV_{pol} / dr) (L_{rad} / L_{pol}) \tau$
- Scale lengths and times derived from correlation functions
- Poloidal velocity from delayed-time cross-correlations
- Average over ~40 $\mu sec,$ and ~ 1.5 cm radial for dV_{pol} / dr
- $L_{rad} \sim 3 \text{ cm}, L_{pol} \sim 4 \text{ cm}, \tau \sim 8 \mu \text{sec}, dV_{pol}/dr \sim \pm 10^5 \text{ sec}^{-1}$

=> S ~ ± 1-2 (interesting coincidence !?)

<u>Shear Preceding Transition (ρ ~ 0)</u>

• V_{pol} and S reverse sign during quiet periods ($F_{sol} < 0.2$)



Shear Preceding Transition

 Turbulence shear S is not changing before L-H transition, so does not appear to trigger transition



Nonlinear Bicoherence Analysis

F.M. Poli, U. Warwick

- Total bicoherence b²_{tot} has minima during quiet periods in all frequency ranges until 2 ms before L-H transition
- Total bicoherence slightly increases ~0.5 ms before transition in the low- to intermediate- frequency range



Conclusions

- So far: "the role of turbulence in triggering the L-H transition must be considered open." [G.R. Tynan et al, PPCF (2009)]
- Possibilities:
 - L-H transition is triggered by slow or slight changes
 - the trigger is non-local, i.e. outside GPI field of view
 - creative data analysis might yet identify the trigger