Simulations of D3D 97741

- Benchmark case suggested by Linda Sugiyama.
- First done as MHD computations, later 2-fluid.
- Equilibria are used as initial conditions (transfer_eq=T). $E_0=3.4\times10^7$ J.
- Start with g097741.01405; Scott K. also has g097741.1605.
- NIMROD multi-Fourier component runs have $S=10^4$, 10^5 , and 10^6 with Pm=1.
- Simulations have been run with a 16x24 poly_degree=4 mesh and $0 \le n \le 5$.
- Number density and diffusivity profiles are flat.
- I've used continuity='fix profile' and isotropic thermal conduction with $\chi=1$ m²/s ($\eta/\mu_0=1.62$ m²/s at S=10⁶.)
- $\tau_A = 3.46 \times 10^{-7} \text{ s}$ $v_A = 2.17 \times 10^6 \text{ m/s}$
- $S=10^5$ case (12,000 time-steps) was run in 29 hours on 6 processors of our Linux cluster.

At $S=10^4$, there is an n=1 mode in the initial equilibrium, but the profile decays too quickly to see it in the nonlinear simulation.

- NIMROD linear $\gamma \tau_A = 0.011$, M3D linear $\gamma \tau_A = 0.0077$.
- NIMROD nonlinear:



At S=10⁵, the n=1 mode saturates and force q(0) to 1 before the decay of central current density.





So far, the S=10⁶ simulation behaves similarly to the S=10⁵, but the q=1 extends farther from the magnetic axis (in poloidal flux), and the n=1 mode gains more energy before saturating.



This simulation will need more spatial resolution, but I'm pleasantly surprised that it runs as far as it does with a 16×24 p=4 mesh.

Status

- MHD simulations are now running well.
- Initial MHD comparisons with M3D are reasonable

• n=1 growth rates and n=0 kinetic energy generated by equilibrium errors

- NIMROD 2-fluid n=0 computation with the old Hall advance dies within 10s of Alfven times.
 - We'll try again with the new algorithms.