#### (Axisymmetric) Disruption Modeling with NIMROD

C. R. Sovinec and K. J. Bunkers University of Wisconsin-Madison Meeting of the Center for Extended MHD March 15, 2015

Courant Institute, New York University







#### **Objective**

Our aim is to show initial results with representative profiles and with different boundary conditions on flow velocity and particle flux.

#### Outline

- Introduction
- Modeling with NIMROD
  - Initialization
  - MHD system and boundary conditions
- Axisymmetric results
  - Physical conditions
  - Results
- Discussion and conclusions

# **Introduction:** Simulations of VDEs can be used to predict their effects in future devices.

- VDEs have greater potential for causing physical damage than other 'off-normal' events.
- The goal of nonlinear extended-MHD VDE simulations is to quantify predictions.
  - Assess heat and mechanical stresses.
  - Predict onset of 3D instability and its effects.
  - For example, see Strauss, Paccagnella, and Breslau, PoP **17**, 82505 (2010).
- Besides core MHD, simulations need resistive wall and externalmode capabilities.

# **Modeling with NIMROD:** Disruption simulation requires attention to initialization, boundary conditions, and coupling to external regions.

For initialization, we have enhanced the NIMEQ solver [Howell and Sovinec, CPC **185**, 1415] to distinguish open- and closed-flux regions without aligned meshing.

- Using B<sub>pol</sub> only, field-lines are traced from NIMROD's spectralelement nodes during each Picard iteration.
- Traces reaching a modest upper limit before hitting the domain boundary identify points within the closed flux.
- The identification is used when evaluating  $P(\psi)$  and  $F(\psi)$ .
- Fields from external coils are included, but our Grad-Shafranov computations are fixed-boundary at this point.

#### An example shows the initialization for one of the cases presented later.

- Expecting large n=0 displacement, the mesh is rectangular (72×96, bicubic) and not aligned with the magnetic flux.
- The stopping length for poloidal-field tracing is 6×R<sub>outer</sub>.



Final  $\psi$  distribution has one X-point inside the domain. Normalized length as a function of launch point identifies closed flux.

Pressure (left) and  $F=RB_{\phi}$  (right) are prescribed to be constant where the normalized length is less than unity.

### Plasma evolution from the initial state is modeled with NIMROD's single-fluid, single-temperature system.

$$\frac{\partial n}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (n\mathbf{V}) = \nabla \cdot \left( D_n \nabla n - D_h \nabla \nabla^2 n \right)$$
$$mn \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{V} \cdot \nabla \right) \mathbf{V} = \mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B} - 2\nabla (nT) - \nabla \cdot \underline{\Pi}$$
$$\frac{3}{2} n \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{V} \cdot \nabla \right) T = -nT \nabla \cdot \mathbf{V} - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{q}$$

continuity with diffusive numerical fluxes

flow evolution

temperature evolution

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = -\nabla \times (\eta \mathbf{J} - \mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{B}) + \kappa_b \nabla \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}$$

Faraday' s / Ohm' s law with diffusive error control

 $\mu_0 \mathbf{J} = \nabla \times \mathbf{B}$ 

low- $\omega$  Ampere's law

- The diffusive control of divergence error works well with high-order elements [Sovinec, *et al.*, JCP **195**, 355 (2004)].
- The particle diffusion terms provide numerical smoothing.
- Two-fluid modeling will be applied in subsequent disruption studies.

### The present modeling is simplified, but closure relations and diffusivity parameters are important.

- Spitzer  $\eta \sim T^{-3/2}$  is used throughout the central computational region that models plasma.
  - The cases shown below have τ<sub>A</sub>≅1 and η(0)=10<sup>-6</sup>. With a≅0.75, S(0)≅5×10<sup>5</sup>. *T* profiles vary by 10<sup>4</sup>, but η is limited to 10<sup>-2</sup> in most cases.
  - Number density profiles vary by 10.
- Thermal conduction is anisotropic,  $\mathbf{q} = -n \left[ \chi_{\parallel} \hat{\mathbf{b}} \hat{\mathbf{b}} + \chi_{\perp} \left( \mathbf{I} \hat{\mathbf{b}} \hat{\mathbf{b}} \right) \right] \cdot \nabla T$ , with  $\chi_{\parallel} = 5 \times 10^{-2}$  and  $\chi_{\perp} = 5 \times 10^{-6}$ .
- Viscous stress is isotropic,  $\underline{\Pi} = -nm_i v_{iso} \left( \nabla \mathbf{V} + \nabla \mathbf{V}^T \frac{2}{3} \underline{\mathbf{I}} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{V} \right)$ , with  $v_{iso} = 5 \times 10^{-5}$ .
- The artificial particle diffusivities are set to  $D_n = 5 \times 10^{-6}$  and  $D_h = 1 \times 10^{-10}$ .

# The plasma region is coupled to an external vacuum through a resistive wall.

- Plasma modeling is in the central region only.
- The central region is coupled to a meshed external region that is also solved in NIMROD's representation.
- The plot on the right demonstrates poloidal flux leaking into a horseshoe-shaped external region (used in the following).
- Regions are coupled by an implicit implementation of the thin-wall equation.

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{B} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}}{\partial t} = -\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \nabla \times \left[ \left( \frac{\eta_w}{\mu_0 \delta x} \right) \hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \delta \mathbf{B} \right]$$



#### Boundary conditions on **B** are set at the outer boundary, while conditions on n, T, and **V** are set along the perimeter of the central region.

- Standard conditions for NIMROD simulations with thermal conduction and particle diffusion are:
  - *n* and *T* remain fixed at their initial low values.
  - All components of flow are zero, **V** = 0. "Salt water"
- Conditions based on magnetic drift have been implemented:
  - Flow drifts out, based on the resistive-wall E. "DEBS"

$$\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{V} = \hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \frac{1}{B^2} \mathbf{E}_w \times \mathbf{B}$$
, where  $\mathbf{E}_w = \left(\frac{\eta_w}{\mu_0 \delta x}\right) \hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \delta \mathbf{B}$ 

- *T* remains fixed at its initial value.
- *n* is either fixed *or* advects into the resistive wall,  $\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \Gamma = \hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot (n\mathbf{V})$ , which has been implemented with the explicit (old) *n* at each step.
- Along the outer boundary,  $\hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{0}$ , so  $\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{B}$  is fixed.

### Development for parallel communication across (resistive-wall) interfaces is near completion.

- The NIMROD strategy considers each region as a separate domain that is coupled to other domains.
- Domain decomposition is applied to each region with the same set of processes.
- The geometry of the interface and the decompositions of the regions dictate communication patterns.
- In the example shown at right, process 1 communicates with 0 and 2, while processes 0 and 2 only communicate with process 1.
- Parallel computations reproduce singleprocess results on small test cases but not for others. Results shown here have been produced with serial computations.



Schematic shows a 2-region, 3-process example. Each region has subdomains, and processes are numbered from 0.

# **Axisymmetric results:** Recent computations demonstrate progress for VDE tokamak simulation.

- The aspect ratio and elongation are representative.
- $P(\psi)$  and  $F(\psi)$  profiles are simple quadratic and linear functions, respectively, but values are based on DIII-D with *F* nearly uniform and  $\beta(0)=8\%$ .
- There is no applied loop voltage in these computations, so current is free to decay.
  - $\tau_r$  for the initial profile is of order 10<sup>5</sup>.
  - With  $\eta_w / \mu_0 \delta x = 10^{-3}$  and  $a \sim 1$ ,  $\tau_w \sim 10^3$ .
  - The resistive wall sets the time-scale for evolution.
- Equilibria are computed with wall eddy currents, in addition to fixed external coil currents, and decay of the initial eddy currents leads to axisymmetric instability.

### A "control" case with conducting walls around the central region shows slight evolution over 400 $\tau_A$ .

- Plasma current decreases by 4.3%.
- Thermal energy decreases by 1.5%





Comparison of initial and final *n* profiles shows weak diffusion and some leakage.



### With a resistive wall, decay of eddy currents leads to slow axisymmetric instability.

• Over ~1000  $\tau_A$ , plasma current ( $I_p$ ) decreases by 50% and thermal energy decreases by 85%.



Plasma current evolution through 1000  $\tau_{A}$ .

Internal energy decays faster than current after 300  $\tau_A$  due to thermal transport from outer surfaces.

• In these cases, the external coils stabilize the displacement after the wall eddy currents dissipate, and a small limited tokamak remains.

### Displacement from the decay of eddy currents is primarily radial in these cases.

- This configuration has an attracting coil at R=2.6, Z=0 (triangularity) between vertical-field coils at Z=±1.2.
- Edge plasma cools through contact with the wall.



• Note that the evolution is significant relative to the control case.

#### With the Dirichlet boundary condition on *n*, diffusion allows mass to escape.

- Mass piles-up in layers near the points of contact.
- Outward mass flux results from  $\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \Gamma_D = -\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot D_n \nabla n$  along the surface.



# The evolution of current and thermal energy is essentially unchanged when the normal component of flow is set by $E_w \times B$ drift.

- The comparison is presently available through 300  $\tau_A$ .
- In this case, mass flow through the boundary is set by  $\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \Gamma = \hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot (n\mathbf{V})$ .



Plasma current comparison through 300  $\tau_A$ .

Internal energy again decays faster than current near the end of this period.

### Accumulation of mass along the surface is larger with the advective mass flux condition, however.



Mass density at 300  $\tau_A$  with  $V_n$ =0 and diffusive particle flux along surface.



Mass density at 300  $\tau_A$  with drift outflow and advective particle flux along the surface.

#### The flow velocity that sends mass to the wall is larger than the $E_w \times B$ drift, hence the accumulation of mass.

- Along the outer wall at 300 τ<sub>A</sub>, δB≅0.1 and B<sub>φ</sub>=1, so the normal component of the E<sub>w</sub>×B drift is approximately 10<sup>-4</sup>.
- As shown below, V<sub>R</sub> exceeds 10<sup>-2</sup>, 100 times larger, so the E<sub>w</sub>×B drift is negligible.
- B<sub>φ</sub>≅5B<sub>pol</sub> near the outer wall, and with V<sub>φ</sub>=0.07,
  V is largely parallel to B.
- The magnitude of V is a substantial fraction of c<sub>s</sub> (<0.25) in the edge of the simulated plasma.</li>
- Along open field-lines, inertia is significant in the parallel forcebalance.



Contours of  $V_{\phi}$  with poloidal vector components.

Radial component of V near contact point.

### Another case does not use the outboard shaping coil, and the subsequent evolution is slower.

- The drift condition is used on  $V_n$ , but the Dirichlet condition is used for n.
- The upper limit on  $\eta$  is 1, and  $\eta(T)$  varies by 10<sup>6</sup> over the central region.
- This computation uses larger numerical time-steps of ~1.7  $\tau_A$  on average.



• A reversed current sheet forms near the top in the previous case.

#### **Discussion and Conclusions**

- Development for initializing diverted tokamak equilibria with arbitrary meshing facilitates our VDE computations.
- Computations with the numerical external vacuum demonstrate representative evolution over the time-scale of the resistive wall.
- Results with  $E_w \times B$  drift conditions at the wall and advective particle flux are similar to results with  $V_n$ =0 and Dirichlet conditions on n.
  - The extent of mass accumulation differs, however, and the diffusive-flux case allows greater loss of mass.
  - Nearly sonic parallel flows result from parallel forces.
- The need for more realistic plasma-surface modeling is evident.

#### Next Steps

- Resolve the discrepancy between parallel and serial calculations.
- Further develop NIMEQ for free-boundary initialization to provide more realistic VDE evolution.
- Mesh annular external regions that surround the central region.
- Apply *T*-dependent thermal conductivity and viscosity modeling.
- Implement boundary relations that model sheath conditions.
- Develop postprocessing to calculate stresses on the wall.
- Investigate coupled VDE/kink dynamics in three dimensions.

#### **Extra Slides**

#### For other applications, the open-field capability can be used to refine equilibria read from other solvers.

- Externally generated equilibria are interpolated to a flux-aligned mesh using the FLUXGRID code from Glasser/Kruger.
- NIMEQ re-solves the equilibrium on that mesh.



This  $\psi$  distribution is a refinement of EFIT.

Approximately aligned mesh aids B<sub>pol</sub> tracing.

Pressure (left) and  $J_{\phi}$  (right) show *H*-mode pedestal.

• A more sophisticated approach couples FLUXGRID and NIMEQ, traces the separatrix, and refines both equilibrium & mesh [King, BAPS **59**, 15, BP8.5].

## Computations in the outer vacuum regions approximate magnetostatic responses.

• The standard approach uses a magnetic potential.

$$\mathbf{B} = \nabla \chi, \quad \nabla^2 \chi = 0 \text{ in } R_{out}, \quad \hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \nabla \chi = B_{n_{out}} \text{ on } \partial R_{out}$$

where  $\chi$  may be multi-valued in regions that are not topologically spherical.

• A given static solution can also be found as the long-time response to a diffusion problem.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{B} = \eta_{out} \nabla^2 \mathbf{B} \quad \text{subject to} \quad \hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{B} = B_{n_{out}} \text{ on } \partial R_{out}.$$

- This is convenient in NIMROD, which solves the plasma response in terms of **B**.
- Induction from changes in  $I_p$  appear through surface- $\mathbf{E}_{tang}$ .
- Outer-region computations are fast relative to the plasma update.