Turbulence: Role of Observation/Experiment

 Observation (ISM, Solar Wind, Corona, etc) is driver for studies of MHD turbulence; excellent data on spectrum, particle heating, temperature anisotropy...

Turbulence: Role of Observation/Experiment

 Observation (ISM, Solar Wind, Corona, etc) is driver for studies of MHD turbulence; excellent data on spectrum, particle heating, temperature anisotropy...



Turbulence: Role of Observation/Experiment

- Observation (ISM, Solar Wind, Corona, etc) is driver for studies of MHD turbulence; excellent data on spectrum, particle heating, temperature anisotropy...
- Continuation/enhancement of observation is critical
- What role can laboratory experiments play:
 - Controlled experiments: scaling with parameters
 - Isolation of physical phenomena (e.g. basic physics of waves, instabilities)

Issues which could be addressed in laboratory experiment

- Basic physics of nonlinear wave interactions, wave damping (e.g. at high plasma β)
- Basic studies of important instabilities: e.g. mirror, firehose
- Drive turbulent cascade through stirring at large scale, either through driven flows or injected Alfvén waves.
 Study:
 - Spectrum, structure, anisotropy, intermittency.
 - Dissipation, heating
 - Identify role of instabilities (e.g. firehose/mirror in high beta plasma) in establishing spectrum, causing dissipation

Trade-offs in lab experiments

- "Basic plasma devices" (e.g. LAPD, MRX, ...)
 - Low temperature (10eV), probe diagnostics (very detailed, relatively easy measurements), simple geometry
 - But, typically high collisionality (except with low density, but then can't contain all important scales in expt)
- Confinement (fusion) devices
 - Low collisionality, high temperature, density, high S
 - Difficult to diagnose, complicated magnetic geometry
- No lab experiment will match space/astro parameters: have to carefully identify physical processes that are common to both, use theory/simulation to bridge the parameter gap

Laboratory experiments on Turbulence

 Turbulence is widely studied in laboratory plasmas; focus is on gradient-driven modes

Laboratory experiments on Turbulence

- Turbulence is widely studied in laboratory plasmas; focus is on gradient-driven modes (drift waves, ITG, etc)
 - Astrophysical impact: gyrokinetic codes tested against lab measurements now used to simulate, e.g. solar wind, accretion disks, ISM



Laboratory experiments on Turbulence

- Turbulence is widely studied in laboratory plasmas; focus is on gradient-driven modes
 - Astrophysical impact: gyrokinetic codes tested against lab measurements now used to simulate, e.g. solar wind, accretion disks, ISM
- Fusion experiments have and can continue to contribute: tearing driven turbulence in MST, Alfvén Eigenmode cascade in ST/Tokamak (e.g. NSTX)



- Broadband magnetic fluctuation spectrum observed, with tearing modes acting as "stirring scale". Ion heating observed (may be connected to cascade, especially for impurities [Tangrim, et al])
- Ongoing studies of spectrum (in some cases appears to be exponential, consistent with dominant dissipation?)

NSTX is an excellent laboratory for studying Alfvénic turbulence

- High v_{fast}/v_{Alfvén} drives many sub-٠ harmonic Alfvén modes unstable
 - e.g., Fredrickson, et al., Phys. Plasmas 11 (2004) 3653
- Ion heating in excess of the heating ٠ due to beam-plasma collisions has been observed on NSTX
 - D. A. Gates, et al., Phys. Plasmas, 10 (2003) 1659
- Amplitudes of the observed modes ٠ are of the correct order to explain the observed heating
 - (P.W. Ross, Astrophysics Dept., Princeton University, thesis to be submitted Jan. 2010)
- Need detailed study of these ٠ modes and their effects
 - NSTX allows in-situ measurements of the effects of the waves
- Is this relevant to the corona? ٠



Nonlinear interactions among AEs in NSTX



- Fast particles (e.g. alphas) in fusion plasmas can destabilize Alfvén eigenmodes
- Multimode excitation can lead to nonlinear interactions (Crocker, PRL 2006)
- Possibly could have driven cascade through interaction of TAEs

Studies in LAPD

- Nonlinear interactions between co- and counterpropagating kinetic Alfvén waves
- Strong beat-wave interaction between co-propagating waves observed (below)



- Ongoing: Single wave collisions studied, development of turbulent spectrum hampered by damping ("outer scale" waves already have $k_{\perp}\rho_s \sim 0.5$)
- Low β (relevant in some astro contexts, e.g. corona)

[T.A. Carter, B. Brugman, et al., PRL 96, 155001 (2006)]

Desired characteristics of new experiment

- Desirable for new experiment have less dissipation, have access to higher β. Important characteristics:
- Low collisionality (high T or low n)
 - May be able to study inertial range with collisional damping, but would like to study kinetic damping processes, instabilities
 - Would like $\lambda_{mfp} >> \rho_i$, $\lambda_{mfp} > \lambda_{||,A}$ (preferably $\lambda_{mfp} \sim$ device size)
 - e.g. LAPD (5eV, 10^{12} cm⁻³), λ_{ei} ~20cm, $\lambda_{\parallel,A}$ ~2m; need 25eV (hard to do without lots of power or better confinement)
 - But density can't be too low: causes problems in having Alfvén waves of low enough frequency fit in the device! Minimum wavelength ~ $v_A/\Omega_i \propto 1/\sqrt{n}$ (1.4m for 10¹² cm⁻³)

Desired characteristics, cont.

- Large enough magnetic field
 - Would like to have room between outerscale (machine size) and ion gyroradius to allow a turbulent spectrum to develop
 - Could be relaxed for firehose/mirror studies?
 - But, want to be able to access larger β
- Need reasonable mechanism for injecting energy at "stirring" scale: driving flows, exciting Alfvén waves of sufficient amplitude (directly, or through instability)



- Strong case for dedicated experiment(s) on turbulence and instabilities in collisionless plasma, with access to high beta
 - Coupled to theory and simulation, can make significant progress on understanding of basic physics of turbulence relevant to astrophysical plasmas
- To indicate what might be possible, briefly discuss new experimental facilities that might produce suitable plasmas for these studies

Enormous Toroidal Plasma Device at UCLA





- Former Electric Tokamak, (5m major radius, 1m minor radius) operating now with LaB₆ cathode discharge into toroidal+vertical field
- Produces ~100m long, magnetized, unity beta plasma (up to ~5x10¹³ cm⁻³, Te, Ti ~ 20-50eV, B~200G, β ~ 1). Small (20cm) source operating presently, developing large area source (60cm wide plasma column planned).

Possible turbulence studies in ETPD





- Alfvén waves, damping at β~I (underway, data above), many (~100) Alfvén parallel wavelengths in device
- Wave-wave interactions, driven Alfvénic cascade at $\beta \sim I$ (collisional damping reduced over LAPD through higher temperatures)
- Gradient-driven/interchange turbulence at high β
- Mirror/firehose: Drive anisotropy, higher beta through expansion (drive plasma into low field region)

Madison Plasma Dynamo Experiment



- Very high β, large volume plasma; experiment under construction
- Focus is dynamo, but can contribute to turbulence studies (EMHD regime at lower density, Alfvénic regime accessible at high density)
- In particular, has very highβ, easy access to anisotropy driven instabilities (stirring/ dynamo process could excite)