

... for a brighter future



A U.S. Department of Energy laboratory managed by UChicago Argonne, LLC

#### Role of Deputy Director for Code Architecture and Strategy for Integration of Advanced Computing R&D

Andrew Siegel FSP Deputy Director for Code Architecture

- v Responsibilities of Deputy Director for Code Architecture
- v High-level organization of FSP code development activities
- v Strategy for incorporation of ASCR R&D
- v FSP Computing architectures

#### $\mathbf{v}$ Responsibilities of Deputy Director for Code Architecture

- $\mathbf{v}$  High-level organization of FSP code development activities
- **v** Strategy for incorporation of ASCR R&D
- **v** FSP Computing architectures



## **FSP Management Organization Chart**

# **Overall Responsibilities of Chief Architect**



- 1. Oversees all aspects of code development
  - Software componentization of physics working with Chief Scientist
  - Software integration
  - Enabling computational technologies
  - Facilities support: user support, developer support, testing, etc.
- 1. Serves as ASCR Program Office contact for embedded applied math/CS Research & Development activities
- 1. Oversees strategy for migration of codes to future computing architectures

### Responsibilities: Oversight of software integration

Deputy Director for Code Architecture:

- v Constantly refines strategies to enable horizontal integration of FSP tools
  - application projects built off of common FSP physics components that form basis of integrated whole device model
  - application projects move toward common infrastructure/standards
  - application projects live under common software lifecyle
- Works with area leads to adopt processes that advance these goals without overly constraining integrated application teams in short-term.
- Leverage partnerships with ongoing DOE programs with track record of successful developments (e.g. Visit, Cubit, etc.)
- v Combines knowledge of software architecture, physics, and numerics

**Responsibilities: Oversight of User Support** 

v FSP will produce



- v Process managed as relatively mature software process (integration talk)
  - Complex release, versioning, and repo management issues
  - Heavy emphasis on documentation
  - Provenance/pedigree ...
  - Usability
- Deputy Director oversees delicate balance to ensure that these processes work smoothly with overall integration and research goals

- v Responsibilities of Deputy Director for Code Architecture
- v High-level organization of FSP code development activities
- v Strategy for incorporation of ASCR R&D
- v FSP Computing architectures

- **v** Responsibilities of Deputy Director for Code Architecture
- v High-level organization of FSP code development activities
- **v** Strategy for incorporation of ASCR R&D
- **v** FSP Computing architectures



- **v** Responsibilities of Deputy Director for Code Architecture
- **v** High-level organization of FSP code development activities
- v Strategy for incorporation of ASCR R&D
- **v** FSP Computing architectures

### Partnership with ASCR

- ASCR supports research that dedicates efforts across disciplines to critical issues that underline simulation of complex systems.
- Significant progress in last decade working in "embedded mode" within application groups -- tools not built completely in the abstract
- Proto-FSPs modeled this way -- have examples of success in numerical methods, software engineering, visualization
- Must be careful not to separate embedded R&D topics with facilities support and technical expertise.

#### Areas of ASCR Research

- Innovative software engineering
  CCA, ITAPS, ...
- Numerical methods, algorithms, solvers
  Apdec, TOPS
- Data analysis and Visualization
  VACETS
- v UQ and Stochastic Systems
- Mesh generation, mesh representation
  ITAPS
- programming models/future architectures
  Exascale Centers

How to move from general strategy to concrete to meet FSP research needs?

# **Process for incorporation of ASCR research**

- v In next stage of planning phase
  - Establish small crosscut team and require each application area to specify abstractly initial requirements for coupling, i/o, computing resources, physics components, etc. (end of September)
  - Key finding from proto-FSP review: "interdisciplinary teams required in each major management area …"
  - Translate into concrete embedded ASCR R&D activities
    - enabling technologies: meshing, visualization, software coupling, etc.
    - linear/non-linear solvers, coupling methods
- $\mathbf{v}$  At implementation phase
  - Require that appropriate individuals/teams are brought on board to execute apps project.
  - Give integrated application leads flexibility to choose established collaborators
  - Allow application teams to bootstrap existing tools (e.g. from proto-FSPs).

#### Some issues raised Proto-FSPs: Applied Math

- v Extending governing equations into new regimes of applicability
  - e.g. gyrokinetic equations into the edge
- v Hybrid algorithms
  - e.g. kinetic models and continuum models through the pedestal
- $\mathbf{v}$  Accuracy and stability of various coupling strategies
  - one-way coupling, boundary/interface coupling, operator-splittings
- v Error estimation and uncertainty quantification for coupled models involving deterministic and Monte Carlo components and deterministic and statistical analysis; if we're coupling PIC in the core to a continuum model in the edge, how do we estimate the error in each and how do we combine these estimates to quantify the overall uncertainty?

### Some issues raised with Proto-FSPs: CS

- v portable workflow models
- v data provenance capture
- v different physics codes using different parallelism models interacting
- v Blue Gene specific portability issues and impact on code architecture
- $\mathbf{v}$  dynamic load balancing across and within components

- $\boldsymbol{\nu}$  Responsibilities of Deputy Director for Code Architecture
- $\mathbf{v}$  High-level organization of FSP code development activities
- **v** Strategy for incorporation of ASCR R&D
- v FSP Computing architectures

## **Existing and future HPC architectures**

- v Required computing resources dictated by science drivers
- Targeting a class of problems where physics fidelity benefits from next generation LCCs
  - Report: "Fusion Energy Sciences and the Role of Computing at the Extreme Scale"
- v Leadership architectures rapidly moving away from one MPI process per core
  - MPI + ? Needed to take advantage of very high level node parallelism
  - Move from bulk synchronous to multitasking, etc. etc.
- $\mathbf{v}$  Need to define software approach which ensures transition
  - Strong partnerships with exascale Center(s)