



Goal to study basic field-reversed configuration (FRC) physics

FRC topology

Type of compact toroid

Closed magnetic field lines inside an ovaloid separatrix region Similar to tokamak without center body or toroidal field component Magnetic nulls located at ends and around current loop





PFRC1 experiment at PPPL

Interest in FRC formation

Theta-pinch – standard method (LANL, AFRL, Nihon) Spheromak merging (MRX, SSX, Colorado) Rotating magnetic fields (PFRC, TCS-U) Interesting to find new ways to form FRCs

Relevance to fusion research

FRC-based confinement concepts complement tokamak and ICF research Potential for smaller reactor, advanced fuels, and space propulsion Understanding FRC physics is complicated; not explained by MHD Relatively inexpensive experiments; research in USA and Japan Overcoming instabilities rotational, tearing, and tilt modes) major challenge



The Large-Scale Plasma (Lsp) particle-in-cell code

Code description

Fully electromagnetic, particle-in-cell (PIC) description of plasma

- Serial or parallel with standard MPI for communication
- Multiprocessor jobs subdivide structured grids into regions and domains
- Extensible C code with many physics packages added over time (scattering, fusion, circuits, ionization etc.) Energy conserving explicit (ECE), Direct Implicit (DI), and new Magnetic Implicit (MI) algorithms for time-advance Lsp is commercial software developed my Mission Research Corporation, ATK, and Voss Scientific [2]



Can an expanding plasma cloud spontaneously form an FRC?

Diamagnetic currents on plasma edge may reduce/reverse field in core



Consider blob of plasma in center of conducting cavity Axial magnetic field present (e.g. from mirror coils) Density gradient at edges will produce diamagnetic current How will plasma respond?

Particle-in-cell investigation of diamagnetic field-reversal in expanding plasmas

Jeffrey Kollasch¹, Samuel Cohen², Stephane Ethier², Dale Welch³

¹Iowa State University, ²Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, ³Voss Scientific

Theta-pinch FRC formation (Source: Ref. [1])





3D cylindrical simulations

A typical case:

Conducting cylindrical cavity; R=8 cm, Z=16 cm Cylindrical column of hydrogen plasma; $R_{p}=3$ cm, $Z_{p}=8$ cm Initial plasma conditions:

- Plasma density, n=1.0E+12 cm⁻³
- lon temperature, T_i=1 eV
- Electron temperature, T₂=100 eV
- Initial B_7 field varied parametrically (e.g. 0G, 200G, 300G, and 500G)

Typical coarse discretization – 24x16x24 structured mesh, 324,000 particles, dt=1.8 ps, Direct Implicit (all parameters varied)

We expect particles to escape on time-scale inversely proportional to ion acoustic speed: $L/C_s = (4 \text{ cm})/(1.4e7 \text{ cm/s}) \sim 300 \text{ ns}$ Diamagnetic currents and magnetic fields produced



2D Cartesian simulations

A Typical Case:

Conducting rectangular cavity; H=20 cm, W=40 cm Rectangular plasma in center; H_n=4 cm, W_n=10 cm Initial plasma conditions:

- Plasma density, n=2.0E+12 cm⁻³
- lon temperature, T_i=1 eV
- Electron temperature, T₂=100 eV
- Initial B₇ field 100 G

Typical discretization – 200x100 Cartesian mesh, 400,000 particles, dt=10 ps, Energy Conserving Explicit



Slight transient pockets of reversed field found in above case (and many like it) but never full FRC formation





Comparison to ideal MHD

Solve similar problem with MHD2D solver[3] Periodic boundary conditions (as apposed to conducting used with PIC)

Plasma is 20x20 square. Cases below show out of plane B-field at T=100 for cases with and without rotation



Conclusions

FRC formation strictly due to diamagnetic currents in an expanding plasma cloud was not observed in our simulations Diamagnetic currents *can reduce* the field to fluctuations around zero (PIC) or slightly above zero (MHD)

Continuing and future work

Expanding cloud case:

- Incorporate initial currents to aid the diamagnetic current Think harder about the physics. Could some conservation law be at play?
- Apply Lsp code to understanding physics in new PFRC2 device Run larger simulations with more computing resources (e.g. 512 processors) Use new Magnetic Implicit algorithm Study heating and stability of the FRC in presence of rotating magnetic fields (RMF) Use Lsp circuit models to study plasma interaction with RMF antenna Study sensitivity to antenna geometry – could base ideas on single particle analysis as in Ref. [4]

Acknowledgments:

This work was supported by the US Department of Energy – SULI Program. Joshua Blumenkopf is thanked for providing a supporting simulation with OOPIC.

References:

[1] J. M. Tacetti et al., "FRX-L: FRC plasma injector for magnetized target fusion," RSI 74 (2003) 4314-4232. [2] ATK Mission Systems: http://www.mrcwdc.com/LSP/index.html [3] MHD2D is a program written by Peter Norgaard: http://www.princeton.edu/~norgaard/ [4] S.A. Cohen, A.H. Glasser, "Ion heating in the field-reversed configuration by rotating magnetic fields near the ion-cyclotron resonance", PRL, 85, (2000) 5114-5117.

Contact Info:

Jeff Kollasch Senior – Aerospace Engineering and Physics Iowa State University E-mail: jeffk@iastate.edu



- Code solves for standard vector of conserved variables using Godunov finite-volume approach with HLL Riemann solver
- Out-of-plane initial magnetic field set and watch reduction at center due to diamagnetic current
- Many cases tried but none show reversed field (for high Beta it nears zero)

Example: 100x100 domain, 200x200 grid, B_{OUT}=.01, P₀=1.0, Beta=2P/B²=2.0E+4, CFL_{MAX}=.75

RK4 FRC-RMF orbit

