# Clean, Small Fusion Reactors

# Princeton Plasma Physics Lab

Alkin Kaz and Sarah Polson

\*Princeton Plasma Physics lab under prof. Samuel cohen Working remotely -- alkin from turkey and me from chicago Our presentation will start off with some nuclear fusion background and move into specifics on our individual projects



Main questions to answer within this slide:

To give some background, firstly I will discuss where the energy from fusion comes from. The term fusion refers to a reaction in which two nuclei are fused together to form new products. The difference in mass between the reactants and products is manifested as a release of energy. The diagram on the top right describes this reaction with two of the common fuels (deuterium and tritium) used in nuclear fusion reactors today. This is the production of energy that occurs within the Sun, and it is this phenomena that labs and private companies all over the world seek to replicate on Earth.

For this to happen on Earth, we need to be able to heat up a plasma and sustain it at very high temperature to density ratio so that collisions can occur--plasma being an ionized gas, as seen on the bottom left photo. In order to overcome the strong repulsive force (represented on the bottom right diagram as the coulomb barrier) and for fusion to occur, the plasma must be heated up to temperatures on the order of ~200,000,000° C! The next question then becomes how to confine and sustain the plasma. Since we are dealing with ions, the natural solution is through magnetic confinement...

(This difference in mass arises due to the difference in atomic "binding energy" between the atomic nuclei before and after the reaction.)

(at these temperatures Deuterium D and Tritium T are ionized – a plasma (need to heat up these fuels to be very hot but also keep them confined  $\rightarrow$  since we are dealing with ions, the natural solution is through magnetic confinement) --big question that is hoping to be answered is whether we can sustain fusion grade plasmas:



There are Many different models for fusion reactors and proposed answers to this question. The reactor design with the highest level of investment is called the tokamak (pictured in the upper right hand corner). Right below this picture, there is a diagram of the fuels (the same deuterium and tritium as seen on last slide) as well as their products. Here, we see two reactions. Ideally, the neutron product of the initial fusion reaction would be collided with lithium 6 in order to breed more tritium which was one of the initial fuels. While this closed system seems ideal, issues arise because the emitted neutron is not affected by the confinement of magnetic fields, and so it can damage the internal structure of the walls of the machine. Using a different fuel which does not produce neutrons as a byproduct has the potential to solve this problem. However most fusion devices must use D-T because it is the easiest fuel to use temperature-wise which the lower left graph shows. Most reactors do not have a high enough beta (the ratio of the plasma pressure to the magnetic pressure) to sustain other fuels. The design of the reactor that we studied does, and it uses deuterium and helium 3 to avoid neutron products.

and the metallic coils have electric current driven through them to produce both a current within the plasma

Fuels we are concerned with are the easiest "most reactive" fuels  $\rightarrow$  generally where the coulomb barrier is low (minimize number of protons...)

Deuterium and tritium are both hydrogen isotopes and the choice of fuel for many reactors --shown in reactivity graph we see why DT is common choice for fuel since it is easier to fuse and tokamaks (leading design for fusion reactors) do not have a high enough beta to use a different fuel. PFRC model does! Enables use of different fuels

and gets around "neutron problem"

→ connect to PFRC by talking about PFRC being a solution to the "waste management" or ability to have a different fuel



- Without any external current through the middle axis, compact tokamak-like field lines are formed.
- Gas is propelled into the box follows the field lines to arrive at fusion region (closed field region). The waste of the reactions exit from the exhaust.
- ~1 MW ~= 700 people (neighborhood-based small plant system is a possibility)
  - Focus on smaller and de-centralized fusion reactors, says Ryan Umstattd (Director at Fusion Industry Association)
- PFRC1-2-3-4 ... now 2 years left until PFRC-3, 5 years each

# PFRC



Figure 2: PFRC-2 in operation, note RF antennas (orange)

Image Source: "Direct Fusion Drive Rocket for Asteroid Deflection," J.B. Mueller, Y.S. Razin, M.A. Paluszek, A.J. Knutson, G. Pajer, S.A. Cohen, and A.H. Glasser, presented at the 33rd International Electric Propulsion Conference, The George Washington University, USA (6-10 October 2013).



Princeton Environmental Institute

Figure 3: Direct Fusion Drive (DFD) design

Image Source: <u>"Fusion-enabled Pluto Orbiter and Lander.</u>" S.J. Thomas and M.A. Paluszek (Princeton Satellite Systems, Plainsboro, NJ, 08536) and S. Cohen (Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton, NJ, 08543), in preparation (2018).

- RF antennas create what we call Rotating Mag Field, "the magnetic field is closely aligned with plasma configuration.
- ~1 MW ~= 700 people (neighborhood-based small plant system is a possibility)
  - Focus on smaller and de-centralized fusion reactors, says Ryan Umstattd (Director at Fusion Industry Association)

The exhausted charged particles can also be used to gain thrust in space, hence Direct Fusion Drive

- Include Princeton Satellite Systems

#### Sarah

Jaran			variable names		
			0	tau	lorbit time normalized to cyclotron period
			1	r	Iradial position of orbital point
			2	z	axial position of orbital point
	RMF (Rotating Magnetic Field) code		3	phi	lazimuthal position of orbital point
			4	pr	Iraidal momentum of orbital point
•			5	pz	axial momentum of orbital point
		Developed by Dr. Alan Glasser Numerically integrate nonlinear differential equations (Hamiltonian)	6	pphi	angular momentum of orbital point
	0		7	en_r	Iradial contribution to total energy
			8	en_z	laxial contribution to total energy
			9	en_phi	aximuthal contribution to total energy
			10	en_prp	Ikinetic energy of motion perpendicular to B
			11	en_par	kinetic energy of motion parallel to B
			12	energy eV	Iparticle energy
		Output: single particle trajectories	13	error	Irelative error in conservation of conserved energy
			14	bmod	[ B , magnitude of magnetic field at orbital point
	Modeling		15	mu	Imagnetic moment of particle
			16	x	cartesian component of particle position
		loning	1/	У	Cartesian component of particle position
	0	Electron/ion orbite	18	omrac	Tratio of rmf to cyclotron orbit frequency
	0	Electron/Ion orbits	19	ppar	iparallel component of particle momentum
		lon heating Energy distributions Particle escape	20	pprp	perpendicular component or
	0		21	peoe	liotal particle momentum
			22	logio rirac	ratio of Larmor radius to fieldline curvature
	0		23	energy_r	lauinuthal component of kinetic energy
			25	energy_phi	laximuthal component of kinetic energy
			25	energy_z	Imagnetic flux at particle position
			27	dofac	Inalative change from intial particl energy
			28	nei normal	Idefac normalized to particle motion
			29	vyl	cartesian component of particle position in rotating frame
			30	vv1	cartesian component of particle position in rotating frame
			31	ir	Iparameter of Poincare surface of section
			32	beta	Irelativistic ratio v/c
			33	gamma	(relativistic factor 1/sgrt(1-beta^2)
			34	kinetic	Irelativistic kinetic energy
			35	omega	Irelativistic cyclotron frequency
			36	log10 cradius	Imagnetic fieldline radius of curvature
			37	varphi	electrostatic potential of fermi antennas
			38	varphi_z	laxial derivative of varphi
			10110100	Not state and the	

Princeton Environmental Institute

My individual project was centered around the RMF code developed by Dr. alan glasser which simulates single particle trajectories within the PFRC (or any configuration that the user inputs). The code works by integrating the Hamiltonian equations which describe the system. On the left I included a list of all of the code's outputs to emphasize the versatility of the code. The obvious benefit from having such a model is that it is easy to change parameters and see the effect  $\rightarrow$  much easier than building a whole new PFRC machine and running tests to confirm theory.



Here i wanted to showcase some of the code's capabilities. For simplicity I have neglected to include many of graphs that the code is capable of making--these are any combination of the earlier slide's variables as well as spatial graphs of the magnetic flux and field lines. The left side screen grabs show an electron trajectory under the influence of just a magnetic mirror. From the top r versus z graph you can see the particle bounces nicely between the two mirror points, and through the x y graph, we can see a nice drifting circular trajectory. However, turning on the FRC on the right, we can see the trajectories get vastly more complex, even among two well confined particles.

While this mirror only simulation (the left hand side) looks simple, my project was centered around trajectories in mirror simulations that were not this clear. For my project, I studied various plasma parameters in mu non-conservational occurences within this environment.

My project was initially to compare parameters of trajectories within a Hills vortex field distribution (which the code currently functions with) with that of a grad-shafranov distribution.

--note that there are also graphs of magnetic field lines & flux which I chose not to include since this is very busy

\*\*note these two screenshots differ not only in whether the frc is turned on but also different initial parameters among those coil strength, position and radius.



Small primer on kinetic and pic method - how it is useful to investigate the core and SOL region

Compare with Sarah's code:

- Single particle and much less time consuming
- Billions of particles and days of supercomputer power required
- Sarah's meant to be a guide, VPIC is meant to be more detailed / exactly capturing the plasma processes / time consuming.

# Alkin



Princeton

Figure 5: Accumulation of charges on conducting surfaces in a concentric cylindrical plasma capacitor

5.3e+01

-5.6e+01

40 20 10 -10 -20 -30 -40

## Conclusions

• Both projects are continuing and we are proud that we expedited their progress.

Princeton Environmental Institute

• We learned about the current research fronts of the field as well as the fundamental basics.

Science Undergraduate Laboratory Internship (SULI) Introduction to Fusion Energy and Plasma Physics Course

