

**Reduced MHD “favors” straight field line coordinates for mode description.**

**Reason:**

**In straight field line coordinates, the safety factor  $q$  has no poloidal dependence on a given flux surface.**

**Particle guiding center theory “favors” orthogonal magnetic coordinates.**

**Reason:**

**Guiding center equations of motion have a convenient Hamiltonian structure in orthogonal magnetic coordinates.**

Representation of the unperturbed axisymmetric magnetic field in orthogonal coordinates:

$$\mathbf{A} = A_\varphi \nabla \varphi + A_\theta \nabla \theta$$

$$\mathbf{B} = B_\varphi \nabla \varphi + B_\theta \nabla \theta$$

$\varphi$  - toroidal angle

$\theta$  - poloidal angle

$\psi$  - flux coordinate

**Note** that there is no  $\nabla \psi$  - component in  $\mathbf{A}$  or  $\mathbf{B}$  in orthogonal coordinates.

Littlejohn Lagrangian in orthogonal magnetic coordinates:

$$L = \frac{e}{c} \left[ A_\varphi + \frac{Mc}{e} v_{\parallel} \frac{B_\varphi}{B} \right] \dot{\varphi} + \frac{e}{c} \left[ A_\theta + \frac{Mc}{e} v_{\parallel} \frac{B_\theta}{B} \right] \dot{\theta} + \frac{Mc}{e} \mu \dot{\zeta} - \mu B - \frac{Mv_{\parallel}^2}{2}$$

Dynamical variables:

$\varphi$  - toroidal angle

$v_{\parallel}$  - parallel velocity

$\theta$  - poloidal angle

$\zeta$  - gyroangle

$\psi$  - flux coordinate

$\mu$  - magnetic moment

Hamiltonian form:

$$L = P_\varphi \dot{\varphi} + P_\theta \dot{\theta} + P_\zeta \dot{\zeta} - H(P_\varphi; P_\theta; P_\zeta; \theta)$$

$$P_\varphi \equiv \frac{e}{c} \left[ A_\varphi + \frac{Mc}{e} v_{\parallel} \frac{B_\varphi}{B} \right]$$

$$P_\theta \equiv \frac{e}{c} \left[ A_\theta + \frac{Mc}{e} v_{\parallel} \frac{B_\theta}{B} \right]$$

$$P_\zeta \equiv \frac{Mc}{e} \mu$$

$$H \equiv \mu B + \frac{Mv_{\parallel}^2}{2}$$

**Transformation from straight field line coordinates  $(\underline{r}; \underline{\theta}; \underline{\varphi})$  to orthogonal coordinates  $(r; \theta; \varphi)$ :**

$$\underline{r} = r$$

$$\underline{\varphi} = \varphi$$

$$\underline{\theta} = \theta - \left( \frac{r}{R_0} + \Delta' + \int_0^r \frac{\Delta'}{r} dr \right) \sin \theta \equiv \theta - \hat{\varepsilon} \sin \theta$$

$\Delta' \equiv$  Shafranov shift

Mode representation (single poloidal component) in straight field line coordinates:

$$\Phi_{SAW} = \Phi_{nm}(\underline{r}) \exp(-i\omega t + in\underline{\varphi} - im\underline{\theta})$$

Mode representation in orthogonal coordinates:

$$\Phi_{SAW} = \Phi_{nm}(r) \exp(-i\omega t + in\varphi - im\theta + im\hat{\varepsilon} \sin \theta)$$

**Issue to address:**

**The case of large  $m\hat{\varepsilon}$  presents a challenge for brute-force numerical implementation because insufficient poloidal resolution can break the Hamiltonian structure of wave-particle interaction.**