

Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik

A new class of three-dimensional ideal-MHD equilibria with current sheets

Joaquim Loizu

joaquim.loizu@ipp.mpg.de

Stuart Hudson, Per Helander, Sam Lazerson, Amitava Bhattacharjee

57th APS conference, Savannah, Georgia, November 20th 2015 ¹

Current sheets in fusion and astrophysical plasmas

Current sheets predicted to form in 3D ideal-MHD equlibria…

…in the solar corona, where ideal plasma convection on the surface produces field entanglement. [Parker, 1972]

…in toroidally confined plasmas, where ideal kink instabilities bring the plasma to resonant 3D states. [Rosenbluth, 1973]

3D MHD brings together tokamaks and stellarators

Stellarator three-dimensional topology

Tokamak non-axisymmetric designs

(magnetic ripple, resonant magnetic perturbations,...)

Tokamak MHD helical modes and bifurcations

(saturated internal kink, sawteeth)

On the menu today

- Origin of singular current densities in 3D MHD with nested surfaces.
- Questioning the existence of 3D ideal-MHD equilibria.
- Exact computation of singular currents.
- A new class of 3D ideal-MHD equilibria.
- Application to resonant magnetic perturbations in fusion devices.

Junguia de j kan di sebagai kecamatan di sebagai kecamatan di sebagai kecamatan di sebagai kecamatan di sebagai kecamatan
Bandaran di sebagai kecamatan di sebagai kecamatan di sebagai kecamatan di sebagai kecamatan di sebagai kecama fent densities come in two flavours urrent densities come in two flavou Singular current densities come in two flavours

J_{u} J_{u} (r ⇥ B) ⇥ B = *µ*0r*p* (39) Existence of 3D ideal-MHD equilibria?

- \triangleright $\mathbf{j} \equiv u\mathbf{B} + \mathbf{j}_{\perp}$ is not the current, but the current density $[A/m^2]$. *m qn sity* $[A/m^2]$.
- \triangleright Physically-valid equilibrium if the current $J = \int_D \mathbf{j} \cdot d\sigma$ across any surface is finite (weak formulation of the problem). z
Z \sum $\mathbf{j} \cdot \mathbf{d}\sigma$ across
- Ø Problem: Pfirsch-Schlüter current diverges across certain surfaces. α
	- Ø Historical conclusion: pressure gradients cannot be supported at *resonant rationals and thus pressure is either fractal or stepped.*

The function p is continuous but its derivative is pathological. We have obtained an equilibrium solution without infinite currents, but at the price of a very pathological pressure distri*bution.*

J_{u} J_{u} (r ⇥ B) ⇥ B = *µ*0r*p* (39) Existence of 3D ideal-MHD equilibria?

- \triangleright $\mathbf{j} \equiv u\mathbf{B} + \mathbf{j}_{\perp}$ is not the current, but the current density $[A/m^2]$. *m qn sity* $[A/m^2]$.
- \triangleright Physically-valid equilibrium if the current $J = \int_D \mathbf{j} \cdot d\sigma$ across any surface is finite (weak formulation of the problem). z
Z \sum $\mathbf{j} \cdot \mathbf{d}\sigma$ across
- Ø Problem: Pfirsch-Schlüter current diverges across certain surfaces. α
	- Ø Historical conclusion: pressure gradients cannot be supported at *resonant rationals and thus pressure is either fractal or stepped.*

[...] More precisely, our theorems insure the existence of sharp boundary solutions for tori whose departure from axisymmetry is sufficiently small; they allow for solutions to be constructed with an arbitrary number of pressure jumps. © 1996 John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

> α ^{*n*} α ^{*n*} [Bruno and Laurence, 1996]

J_{u} J_{u} (r ⇥ B) ⇥ B = *µ*0r*p* (39) Existence of 3D ideal-MHD equilibria?

- \triangleright $\mathbf{j} \equiv u\mathbf{B} + \mathbf{j}_{\perp}$ is not the current, but the current density $[A/m^2]$. *m qn sity* $[A/m^2]$.
- \triangleright Physically-valid equilibrium if the current $J = \int_D \mathbf{j} \cdot d\sigma$ across any surface is finite (weak formulation of the problem). z
Z \sum $\mathbf{j} \cdot \mathbf{d}\sigma$ across
- Ø Problem: Pfirsch-Schlüter current diverges across certain surfaces. α
	- Ø Historical conclusion: pressure gradients cannot be supported at *resonant rationals and thus pressure is either fractal or stepped.*

How to compute 3D ideal equilibria with current sheets?

m,n

Equation type *xf*(*x*) = *h*(*x*)*, x* ⌘ ◆-*mn, h*(*x*) ⇠ *p*⁰ ⁼) *^umn*(*x*) = *^h*(*x*)*/x* ⁺ ^ˆ*jmn*(*x*) Are there 3D MHD equilibria with nested surfaces & smooth pressure?

r *·* j = 0 *,* r *·* B =0 =) B *·* r*u* = r *·* j? (3) *kelaxed MHD* $\left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}\right)$ r *·* j = 0 *,* r *·* B =0 =) B *·* r*u* = r *·* j? (3) **Multiregion Relaxed MHD Multiregion Relaxed MHD** (3)

Magnetic coordinates (*,* ✓*,*) =) ^p*^g* ^B *·* r ⌘ ◆-@✓ ⁺ @ (4)

^j ⇥ ^B ⁼ ^r*^p* ⁼) ^j? = (^B ⇥ r*p*)*/B*² (2)

 \sim

dV W = 0 (7)

 $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$

H ⌘ Stepped-Pressure Equil ϵ = ϵ \overline{a} **b** P^{D} **x** is is a a **D** is a same **F** and Stepped-Pressure Equilibrium Code (SPEC) *x,y*!0

Stepped-**P**ressure **E**quilibrium **C**ode (SPEC) ⇠(*r*) cos (*m*✓ + *kz*) (47)

Complete shielding requires discontinuous transform

A new class of 3D MHD equilibria

- \triangleright Consider equilibria with discontinuous transform across resonances.
- \triangleright This class of equilbria allows for
	- \triangleright Nested surfaces
	- \triangleright Arbitrary 3D geometry
	- \triangleright Arbitrary continuous and smooth pressure
	- \triangleright Integrable current sheets

[Loizu et al, Phys Plasmas 22 090704, 2015]

 \triangleright This class of ideal-MHD states may be accessed when island-healing mechanisms are at play. [Bhattacharjee PoP 1995, Hegna PoP 2012]

Application: resonant magnetic perturbations

 \triangleright Consider a screw-pinch axisymmetric equilibrium:

$$
\frac{dp}{dr} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dr}\left[B_z^2(1+t^2\frac{r^2}{R^2})\right] + \frac{rt^2B_z^2}{R^2} = 0
$$

Choose equilibrium profiles:

 $t(r) = t_0 - t_1 (r/a)^2 \pm \Delta t/2$,
 $p(r) = p_0 [1 - 2(r/a)^2 + (r/a)^4]$

Ø **Outstanding question**: what is the ideal response to a resonant boundary perturbation ? [Turnbull et al, PoP 2013; Reiman et al, NF 2015]

Ideal linear response to an RMP at $\beta = 0$ to the boundary satisfies the linearized force-balance contribution with $a \mathfrak{c} \mathfrak{p} = 0$ induced by a non-axisymmetric, radial perturbation with \mathbf{P}_{max} and \mathbf{P}_{max} and \mathbf{P}_{max} a single Fourier harmonic, and the single \sim 0.6 \overline{C}

0.4

⇠*^r*(*r* = *a,* ✓*, z*) = ⇠*^a* cos (*m*✓ + *kz*) *,* (3)

equation,

- \triangleright Perturbed equilibrium satisfies: \triangleright Reduces to Newcomb equation: $\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 +$ $\begin{bmatrix} 0.8 \end{bmatrix}$ $\delta \mathbf{j} [\boldsymbol{\xi}] \times \mathbf{B}_0 + \mathbf{j} \times \delta \mathbf{B} [\boldsymbol{\xi}] = 0$ $\sum_{\substack{n,m\\m\geq 0}}^{\infty}$ $\frac{d}{dr}\left(f\frac{d\xi}{dr}\right) - g\xi = 0$ (1) $f = B_z^2 (\epsilon - \epsilon_s)^2 \bar{k} r^2$, $g = \frac{f}{\sqrt{2}}(k^2r^2 + m^2 - 1) + B_1^2(\mu^2 - \mu^2)2\bar{k}^2\mu^2r$ $\overline{}$ FIG. 1: Solutions of Eq. (5) for an *m* = 2, *n* = 1 bound- $\sqrt{1}$ λ / λ indicate the inner (*r<rs*, blue) and outer (*r>rs*, red) parts $\left\| \cdot \right\|$ $\mathbf{S} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{D} & \mathbf{a} & \mathbf{a} \\ \mathbf{D} & \mathbf{a} & \mathbf{a} & \mathbf{a} \end{bmatrix}$ $\mathbf{J} = [[\mathbf{b}]] \times \mathbf{n}$ $(r - r_s)$ \sum_{z} (*c* equilibrium, \sum_{z} T^2 (ii) \cdots rise to $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$ $\sum_{z \in S}$ curve $\frac{1}{z}$ I/a *total* current sheet and the *total* magnetic field is also $\mathbf{j} = [[\mathbf{B}]] \times \mathbf{\hat{n}}\delta(r-r_s),$ to the boundary satisfies the linearized force-balance balance balance balance balance balance balance balance \mathcal{L} ϵ j[⇠] ⇥ B⁰ + j ⇥ B[⇠]=0 *,* (4) where \mathbb{Z}_p is the equilibrium magnetic field and the equilibrium magnetic fi $\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline \text{decreasing} \Delta t & & \text{else} \end{array}$ \sim 0.2 \times 1 *d* 0.2 *f d*⇠ dr **dr** $\frac{1}{2}$ *g*_{$\frac{1}{4}$ 0.6 0.8 1} $\hat{\mathbf{i}} = [[\mathbf{B}]] \times \hat{\mathbf{n}} \delta(r - r_s)$. $g = \frac{f}{r^2}(k^2r^2 + m^2 - 1) + B_z^2(\epsilon_s^2 - \epsilon^2)2\bar{k}^2\epsilon_s^2r$ **j** = [[**]** j] $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$, (4) $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2$ \triangleright Reduces to Newcomb $\frac{d}{dt}\left(f\frac{d\xi}{dt}\right) - g\xi = 0$ where ⇠*^r* ⌘ ⇠(*r*) cos (*m*✓ ⁺ *kz*). The functions *^f*(*r*) and \mathcal{U} 0.2 FIG. 1: Solutions of Eq. (5) for an *m* = 2, *n* = 1 boundary perturbation and for \mathcal{A} indicate the inner \mathbb{Z} \sim \sim 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8
 r/a *^s* is proportional to the boundary perturbation and inverse lying \mathcal{N} *|* 1, which translates into ◆- ◆-*min*,
	- *≯ Sine qua non* condition for the existence of equilibria: *f* = *B*² \mathcal{E}^{\prime} *ine qua non* condition for the existence of equilibria: $|\xi'| \leq 1$ oine qua non condition for the existence of equilibria: $|\xi'| \leq 1$ *^z* (◆- ◆-*s*) *,* (6) $g \in \mathbb{R}^n$ *f* $g \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $g \in \mathbb{R}^n$ if $g \in \mathbb{R}^n$ *^s* ◆ *^r*² (*k*²*r*² ⁺ *^m*² 1) + *^B*² \triangleright *Sine qua non* condition for the existence of equilibria: $|\xi'| \leq 1$
	- \triangleright Implies **minimum current sheet**: $\Delta t \geq \Delta t_{min}$ mplies **minimum current sheet**: $\Delta t \geq \Delta t_{\textit{r}}$ mplies **minimum current sheet**: $\Delta t \geq \Delta t_{min} = 2t_s' \xi_s$ Ω / ϵ \triangleright Implies minimum current sheet: $\Delta t \geq \Delta t_{min} = 2t_s' \xi_s$ The continuous transform limit becomes a consistent soturbation or infinitesimally small shear.

 ξ_a cos $(m\theta + kz)$

Existence space is reproduced in nonlinear calculations *R*² + *r*²◆-² *s ^k*²*r*² ⁺ *^m*² ¹

^z (◆- ◆-*s*)

2*r*

g = *B*²

BOUNDARY PERTURBATION

+ *B*²

^z (◆-

^s ◆-

²)2◆-

Ideal linear response to an RMP at $\beta > 0$ j] $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ where B0 is the equilibrium magnetic field and > 0 \mathbf{C} and variations in **deal linear response** t

linear, if α is the magnetic field is the

→ Pressure-driven **amplification** and **penetration** of RMP in ideal MHD! **Figure-driven amplification and penetration of RMP in ideal MHD!** The general expressions for the three components of t ration of RMP in ideal MHD! linear calculations used Fourier harmonics with *m* 6

B. Linear response to an RMP

SPEC nonlinear calculations exactly verified

VMEC qualitatively reproduces same behaviour <u>z</u> quantatively reproduct $n \cdot \frac{1}{2}$ shows that this equilibrium marginally satisfies the *sine*

An exact agreement with Newcomb's solutions may require explicit handling *n* $\frac{1}{2}$ in the magnetic field rance in the magnetic next. dling p_1 and p_2 of discontinuities in the magnetic field.

qua non condition, *|*⇠⁰

Summary and perspectives

- \triangleright First numerical proof of the existence of singular current densities. [Loizu et al, Phys Plasmas 22 022501, 2015]
- \triangleright New class of 3D MHD equilibria allows for nested surfaces and smooth pressure. [Loizu et al, Phys Plasmas 22 090704, 2015]
- \triangleright Novel prediction: amplification and penetration of RMP even within ideal MHD. [Loizu et al, Phys Plasmas, submitted]
- \triangleright The questions
	- (1) what sets the value of for Δ ι?
	- (2) how are these states accessed?

remain to be investigated.