

1. Motivation

- Verification & Validation are the milestones in the path towards predictive code capability [1].
- Verification answers: Are we solving the equations right?
- Validation answers: Are we solving the right equations?
- ► Fusion research yearns for **fast**, **robust**, **and reliable** codes describing 3D MHD equilibria.
- Challenge: intricate combination of magnetic surfaces, magnetic islands, and chaos [2].
- ▶ The Stepped Pressure Equilibrium Code (SPEC) was developed to fulfil this nontrivial task [3].
- SPEC was verified in axisymmetry and for slightly perturbed configurations [3-6].
- ► Here we present the first SPEC calculations of equilibria in stellarator geometries.

2. The SPEC code

- ▶ SPEC finds equilibria as extrema of the Multiregion Relaxed MHD energy functional [7].
- ► In MRxMHD, discrete topological constraints allow for **partial relaxation**:
- > Plasma is partitioned into N nested volumes, V_v , undergoing Taylor relaxation.
- ▶ Volumes separated by N 1 interfaces, ∂V_v , constrained to remain magnetic surfaces.
- Location and shape of surfaces determined self-consistently by force-balance condition.



- Helicity is conserved globally Helicity is conserved discretely Helicity is conserved locally
- MRxMHD equilibrium states satisfy, for v = 1, ..., N:

$$abla imes \mathbf{B} = \mu_{v}\mathbf{B} \quad \text{in } V_{v}$$
 $\left[\left[\boldsymbol{p}_{v} + \frac{B^{2}}{2}\right]\right]_{v} = 0 \quad \text{in } \partial V_{v}$

SPEC is a fixed-boundary code and requires specification of the boundary:

$$R = \sum_{mn} R_{mn} \cos (m\theta - n\varphi)$$
 and $Z = \sum_{mn} Z_{mn} \sin (m\theta - n\varphi)$

- ▶ SPEC also needs two profiles, e.g. pressure $p(\psi_v)$ and transform $t^{\pm}(\psi_v)$.
- Solution in terms of the vector potential $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}_{\theta} \nabla \theta + \mathbf{A}_{\omega} \nabla \varphi$, written in the form

$$oldsymbol{A}_{lpha}(oldsymbol{s}, heta, arphi, arphi) = \sum_{oldsymbol{m}, oldsymbol{n}, oldsymbol{l}} oldsymbol{A}_{lpha, oldsymbol{m}, oldsymbol{n}, oldsymbol{l}} oldsymbol{T}_{oldsymbol{l}}(oldsymbol{s}) \cos{(oldsymbol{m} heta - oldsymbol{n} arphi)}$$

where $T_l(s)$ are the Chebyshev polynomials.

• **Resolution parameters** are $M_{pol} = max(m)$, $N_{tor} = max(n)$, $L_{rad} = max(l)$.

Verification of the SPEC code in stellarator geometries

J. Loizu^{1,2}, S. Hudson², and C. Nührenberg¹

¹ Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik, D-17491 Greifswald, Germany ² Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton New Jersey, USA

3. Stellarator vacuum fields





joaquim.loizu@ipp.mpg.de

0.6 0.87 Ν 0.83 -0.2 -0.4 09000 -0.6 6.05 6.1 5.4 5.6 5.8 6 6.2

[1] P. W. Terry et al., Phys. Plasmas 15, 062503 (2008) [2] R. B. White, The Theory of Toroidally Confined Plasmas, Imperial College Press (2014 [3] S. R. Hudson *et al.*, Phys. Plasmas 19, 112502 (2012) [4] J. Loizu *et al.*, Phys. Plasmas 22, 022501 (2015) [5] J. Loizu *et al.*, Phys. Plasmas 22, 090704 (2015)



4. Stellarator equilibria with KAM surfaces

• Run SPEC with N = 2 and a KAM surface with $t^{\pm} = t_{noble}$. As input, specify toroidal fluxes and edge transform.



- Force-balance is exact |f|=10⁻¹⁶
- Zero-current not guaranteed ($\mu_{1,2} \neq 0$)
- Iterate flux and edge transform to recover the vacuum case ($\mu_1 = \mu_2 = 0$)
- Pressure can be easily added

4. References

4)	[6] J. Loizu <i>et al.</i> , Phys. Plasmas 23, 055703 (2016)
	[7] M. Hole et al., Nuclear Fusion 47, 746-753 (2007)
	[8] J. B. Taylor, Physical Review Letters, 33:1139-1141 (1974)
	[9] G. R. Dennis <i>et al.</i> , Phys. Plasmas 20, 032509 (2013)